Optimization

Summer 2016

Lecture 1: April 15

Lecturer: Andreas Wiese

Scribe: Harry Ritchie

1 Linear Programming: Algorithms for Solving

1.1 Refresh

- 1. objective function: $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ maximise/minimise
- 2. constraints: $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$
- 3. variables: $\mathbf{x} \leq \geq 0$
- 4. feasible region: $\mathbf{P} := {\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}}$
- 5. *Def* polytope: A polytope is an n dimensional region with flat sides. The shape of our feasible region.

1.2 Theorem

All polyhedrons are closed sets

Let $P = {\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}}$

Let $x^{|1|}, x^{|2|}, \dots$, be a convergent sequence in P

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)} = x^{(*)}, \dots, x^{(1)} \in P \quad \forall k$$

$$x^{(*)} \in \{P | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(*)} \le \mathbf{b}\}$$

Let i be a component.

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(*)})_i = \mathbf{A} \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)}$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(\mathbf{k})})_i$$

We know for every $k | \mathbf{Ax}^{(\mathbf{k})} \in P$ (A function mapping) and every k forms a sequence. Then from the above equation, every single element will be at least, b_i . Hence, it is closed from $(b_i \to \infty)$

1.3 Projection and Fourier-Motzkin

We require a function that maps n-points to our n-1 points. We define such function $\pi_k\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^k$

$$\pi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

for a set S

$$S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad \pi_k(S) = \{\pi_k(x) \mid x \in S\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \mid \exists x_k \dots x_n \mid x_k, x_n \in S\}$$

Given a polyhedron $P = \{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\}$ NOTE: if $P \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(P) \neq \emptyset$ If P is non-empty, then the projection of P is non-empty. The Fourier-Motzkin process goes as follows:

• Rewrite each constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j} x_i \ge b_i$$
$$\alpha_{i,n} x_n \ge -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i,j} x_i + b_i$$

• if $\alpha_{i,n} \neq 0$ then divide by $\alpha_{i,n}$

$$x_n \ge d_i + e_i^T \mathbf{x}$$
 if $\alpha_{i,n} > 0$, $d_i = \frac{b_i}{\alpha_{i,n}}$, $e_i = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i,j}}{\alpha_{i,n}}$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , \ d_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} & \alpha_{i,n} < 0 & d_i + e_i^T \mathbf{x} \geq x_n \\ \text{if} & \alpha_{i,n} = 0 & 0 \geq d_k + e_k^T \mathbf{x} \end{array}$

Now, when rewriting constraints we only consider the projected points.

• Let Q be a polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} defined by. $0 \ge d_k + e_k^T \mathbf{x}$ for each $x_{i,n} = 0$ Suppose there is a point in P. $\{\forall i, j \mid \alpha_{i,n} > 0, \alpha_{j,n} < 0\}$

$$\Rightarrow d_j + e_j^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \le d_i + e_i^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

Next: one round of Fourier - Motzkin to P ie;

 $Q = \pi_{n-1}(P)$ We will now prove that Q and $\pi_{n-1}(P)$ contain each other.

First, we will prove the lower bound $\pi_{n-1}(P) \leq Q$.

The inequalities denoted iq(1),iq(2),iq(3) are when $\{\alpha>0\,,\,\alpha<0\,,\,\alpha=0\}$ respectively.

Let
$$\mathbf{\bar{x}} \in \pi_{n-1}(P) \Rightarrow \{ \exists \mathbf{x}_n \mid (\mathbf{\bar{x}}_1, \mathbf{x}_n) \in P \}$$

In iq(3) there is no relation to \mathbf{x}_n hence, satisfied.

For iq(2) and iq(1) it can be shown:

$$d_j + e_j^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \le d_i + e_i^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$
$$min_{\{j \mid \alpha_{j,n} < 0\}} \quad d_j + e_j^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \ge max_{\{i \mid \alpha_{i,n} > 0\}} \quad d_i + e_i^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

(Recall the variables d, e)

This is to say: $\implies [b, \alpha] \neq \emptyset$ then take an arbitrary point on this interval:

Let
$$x_k \in [b, \alpha]$$

 $\implies (\bar{x}, x_n) \text{ satisfies } iq(1), iq(3)$
 $\implies (\bar{x}, x_n) \in P$
 $\implies \bar{x} \in \pi_{n-1}(P)$

Initially, the polytope must be checked if it is non-empty. Apply this process:

$$\pi_{n-1}(P) \to \pi_{n-2}(P) \to \dots \to \pi_1(P)$$

if $\pi_1(P) \neq \emptyset$ then, it is non-empty.

Complexity: Given M constraints it's obvious to see for first projection $O(M^2)$ 2nd, $O(M^4)$ 3rd, $O(M^6)$... n-th $O(M^{2n})$

The introduction of a objective function can result in the following scheme seen previously for solving a LP:

$$min \ c^T \mathbf{x}$$
$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}$$

introduce a dummy variable, x_0 and let the dummy variable be the initial $x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}$

With this new polytope, first check if it is non-empty.

$$P = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b} \land x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}\}$$

Using Fourier-Metzkin elimination, we then obtain Q, where $Q = \{x_0\}$ initially, $Q = c^T \mathbf{x}$

$$\implies Q = \{x_0 \mid \exists (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b} \land x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}\}$$

This polytope is useful as one dimensional and all points in Q are the values optimized.

$$Q = \pi_1(P')$$
 P' When $x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}$ To find the result, back track from $\pi_1(P')$

The computation is not efficient but is nice in theory. Say we project from $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ we produce synthetic inequalities which are also polyhedrons. It is also the simplest method to prove there exists this relation.

Corollary

$$min \quad c^T \mathbf{x}$$
$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
$$\mathbf{x} \ge 0$$

Apply a transformation by introducing variables. For each x_i introduce: x_j^+, x_j^- and replace

all instances: $x_i = x_j^+ - x_j^-$. Introduce constraints: if $x_i \ge 0$: $x_j^+ \ge 0$ then $x_j^- \le 0$. For each constraint $a_i^T \mathbf{x} \ge b_i$ introduce a new dummy variable that represents the residue from $a_i^T \mathbf{x} - b_i = s_i$ hence, we can say: $a_i^T \mathbf{x} - s_i = b_i$. We can add this residual as an extra constraint: ie; $s_i \ge 0$. This allows for a one sided, defined limit.