Optimization Summer 2016

Lecture 1: April 15

Lecturer: Andreas Wiese Scribe: Harry Ritchie

## 1 Linear Programming: Algorithms for Solving

## 1.1 Refresh

- 1. objective function:  $\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{x}$  maximise/minimise
- 2. constraints:  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$
- 3. variables:  $\mathbf{x} \leq \infty \geq 0$
- 4. feasible region:  $P := \{x | Ax \geq b\}$
- 5. Def polytope: A polytope is an n dimensional region with flat sides. The shape of our feasible region.

## 1.2 Theorem

All polyhedrons are closed sets

Let  $P = {\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{b}}$ 

Let  $x^{[1]}, x^{[2]}, \dots$ , be a convergent sequence in P

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)} = x^{(*)}, \dots, x^{(1)} \in P \ \forall k
$$

$$
x^{(*)} \in \{P | \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{(*)} \le \mathbf{b}\}
$$

Let *i* be a component.

$$
(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(*)})_i = \mathbf{A} \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)}
$$

$$
= \lim_{k \to \infty} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(k)})_i
$$

We know for every  $k | \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \in P$  (A function mapping) and every k forms a sequence. Then from the above equation, every single element will be at least,  $b_i$ . Hence, it is closed

from  $(b_i \to \infty)$ 

## 1.3 Projection and Fourier-Motzkin

We requier a function that maps n-points to our n-1 points. We define such function  $\pi_k \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ 

$$
\pi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)
$$

for a set S

$$
S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad \pi_k(S) = \{\pi_k(x) \mid x \in S\}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \mid \exists x_k \dots x_n \mid x_k, x_n \in S\}
$$

Given a polyhedron  $P = {\mathbf{x} | A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}}$  NOTE: if  $P \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(P) \neq \emptyset$  If P is non-empty, then the projection of P is non-empty. The Fourier-Motzkin process goes as follows:

 $\bullet~$  Rewrite each constraint

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j} x_i \ge b_i
$$

$$
\alpha_{i,n} x_n \ge -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i,j} x_i + b_i
$$

• if  $\alpha_{i,n} \neq 0$  then divide by  $\alpha_{i,n}$ 

$$
x_n \ge d_i + e_i^T \mathbf{x} \quad \text{ if } \alpha_{i,n} > 0 \quad, d_i = \frac{b_i}{\alpha_{i,n}} \quad, e_i = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i,j}}{\alpha_{i,n}}
$$

$$
\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \ d_i \in \mathbb{R}
$$

if  $\alpha_{i,n} < 0$   $d_i + e_i^T \mathbf{x} \geq x_n$ if  $\alpha_{i,n} = 0$   $0 \ge d_k + e_k^T \mathbf{x}$ 

Now, when rewriting constraints we only consider the projected points.

• Let Q be a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  defined by.  $0 \geq d_k + e_k^T \mathbf{x}$  for each  $x_{i,n} = 0$ Suppose there is a point in P.  $\{\forall\ i,j\ |\ \alpha_{i,n}>0\ ,\ \alpha_{j,n}<0\}$ 

$$
\Rightarrow d_j + e_j^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \leq d_i + e_i^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}
$$

Next: one round of Fourier - Motzkin to P ie;

 $Q = \pi_{n-1}(P)$  We will now prove that  $Q$  and  $\pi_{n-1}(P)$  contain each other.

First, we will prove the lower bound  $\pi_{n-1}(P) \leq Q$ .

The inequalities denoted  $iq(1), iq(2), iq(3)$  are when  $\{\alpha > 0, \alpha < 0, \alpha = 0\}$  respectively.

$$
Let \ \overline{\mathbf{x}} \in \pi_{n-1}(P) \Rightarrow \{ \exists \ \mathbf{x}_n \ | \ (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1, \mathbf{x}_n) \in P \}
$$

In iq(3) there is no relation to  $x_n$  hence, satisfied.

For  $iq(2)$  and  $iq(1)$  it can be shown:

$$
d_j + e_j^T \overline{\mathbf{x}} \le d_i + e_i^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}
$$
  

$$
min_{\{j \mid \alpha_{j,n} < 0\}} d_j + e_j^T \overline{\mathbf{x}} \ge max_{\{i \mid \alpha_{i,n} > 0\}} d_i + e_i^T \overline{\mathbf{x}}
$$

(Recall the variables  $d, e$ )

This is to say:  $\implies [b, \alpha] \neq \emptyset$  then take an arbitrary point on this interval:

Let 
$$
x_k \in [b, \alpha]
$$
  
\n $\implies (\bar{x}, x_n)$  satisfies  $iq(1), iq(3)$   
\n $\implies (\bar{x}, x_n) \in P$   
\n $\implies \bar{x} \in \pi_{n-1}(P)$ 

Initially, the polytope must be checked if it is non-empty. Apply this process:

$$
\pi_{n-1}(P) \to \pi_{n-2}(P) \to \dots \to \pi_1(P)
$$

if  $\pi_1(P) \neq \emptyset$  then, it is non-empty.

Complexity: Given M constraints it's obvious to see for first projection  $O(M^2)$  2nd,  $O(M^4)$  3rd,  $O(M^6)$  ... n-th  $O(M^{2n})$ 

The introduction of a objective function can result in the following scheme seen previously for solving a LP:

$$
\min \ c^T \mathbf{x}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b}
$$

introduce a dummy variable,  $x_0$  and let the dummy variable be the initial  $x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}$ 

With this new polytope, first check if it is non-empty.

 $P = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b} \land x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}\}\$ 

Using Fourier-Metzkin elimination, we then obtain  $Q$ , where  $Q = \{x_0\}$  initially,  $Q = c^T \mathbf{x}$ 

$$
\implies Q = \{x_0 \mid \exists (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{b} \land x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}\}\
$$

This polytope is useful as one dimensional and all points in Q are the values optimized.

$$
Q = \pi_1(P')
$$
 P' When  $x_0 = c^T \mathbf{x}$  To find the result, back track from  $\pi_1(P')$ 

The computation is not efficient but is nice in theory. Say we project from  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ we produce synthetic inequalities which are also polyhedrons. It is also the simplest method to prove there exists this relation.

Corollary

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\min & c^T \mathbf{x} \\
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{x} &\geq 0\n\end{aligned}
$$

Apply a transformation by introducing variables. For each  $x_i$  introduce:  $x_j^+, x_j^-$  and replace all instances:  $x_i = x_j^+ - x_j^-$ .

Introduce constraints: if  $x_i \geq 0$ :  $x_j^+ \geq 0$  then  $x_j^- \leq 0$ . For each constraint  $a_i^T \mathbf{x} \geq b_i$ introduce a new dummy variable that represents the residue from  $a_i^T \mathbf{x} - b_i = s_i$  hence, we can say:  $a_i^T \mathbf{x} - s_i = b_i$ . We can add this residual as an extra constraint: ie;  $s_i \geq 0$ . This allows for a one sided, defined limit.