



---

Fine-Grained Complexity Theory, Exercise Sheet 3

---

[www.mpi-inf.mpg.de/departments/algorithms-complexity/teaching/summer19/fine-complexity/](http://www.mpi-inf.mpg.de/departments/algorithms-complexity/teaching/summer19/fine-complexity/)

Total Points: 40 + 2 bonus points

Due: Tuesday, May 28, 2019

You are allowed to collaborate on the exercise sheets, but you have to write down a solution on your own, **using your own words**. Please indicate the names of your collaborators for each exercise you solve. Further, cite all external sources that you use (books, websites, research papers, etc.).

You need to collect at least 50% of all points on exercise sheets to be admitted to the exam.

---

Exercise ○○ 2 bonus points

---

Read the lecture notes (of the last three lectures), identify as many typos and other mistakes as you can, and add them as a list to your solutions. You get one bonus point for at least one typo/mistake and 2 bonus points for at least five typos/mistakes.

---

Exercise 1 10 points

---

Recall the formal definition of fine-grained reductions from the lecture:

For problems  $P, Q$  and (conjectured) time bounds  $T_P, T_Q$  for these problems, the pair  $(P, T_P)$  has a *fine-grained reduction* to the pair  $(Q, T_Q)$ , denoted by  $(P, T_P) \leq_{fgr} (Q, T_Q)$ , if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are a  $\delta > 0$  and a word RAM machine  $M^Q$  (with oracle access to  $Q$ ) such that, given an input of size  $n$ ,

- the machine  $M^Q$  solves the problem  $P$  in time  $O(T_P(n)^{1-\delta})$ , and
- the calls to the oracle on the corresponding oracle inputs  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k$  of sizes  $n_1 = |I_1|, n_2 = |I_2|, \dots, n_k = |I_k|$  satisfy  $\sum_{i \in [k]} T_Q(n_i)^{1-\varepsilon} \leq O(T_P(n)^{1-\delta})$ .

Prove that fine-grained reductions are transitive, that is, prove that for any problems  $P, Q$ , and  $R$  and corresponding time bounds  $T_P, T_Q$ , and  $T_R$ , if there are fine-grained reductions  $(P, T_P) \leq_{fgr} (Q, T_Q)$  and  $(Q, T_Q) \leq_{fgr} (R, T_R)$ , then there is also a fine-grained reduction  $(P, T_P) \leq_{fgr} (R, T_R)$ .

---

Exercise 2 10 points

---

Consider the following problem and the corresponding conjecture:

**Hitting Set Problem:** Given two lists of  $n$  subsets over a universe  $U$  of size  $d$ , determine if there is a set in the first list that intersects every set in the second list, that is a “hitting set”.

**Hitting Set Hypothesis (HSH):** The **Hitting Set Problem** cannot be solved in time  $O(n^{2-\varepsilon} \cdot \text{poly}(d))$ .

Prove that **HSH** implies **OVH**.

(Hint: In the lecture, we showed a reduction from *All-Pairs-Negative-Triangle* to *Negative-Triangle*. The same kind of reduction can work here.)

— Exercise 3 —

4 points —

In the lecture we defined the **Negative Triangle Problem** on general graphs as follows:

**Negative Triangle:** Given a weighted directed graph  $G = (V, E, w)$ ,  $|V| = n$  with edge weights  $w : E \rightarrow \{-n^c, \dots, n^c\}$  (for some  $c > 0$ ), determine if there are three vertices  $i, j, k$  such that  $w(i, j) + w(j, k) + w(k, i) < 0$  holds.

Consider the following variant of that problem, where  $G$  is required to be tripartite:

**Negative Triangle':** Given a weighted, directed, and tripartite graph  $G = (A \cup B \cup C, E, w)$ ,  $|A| = |B| = |C| = n$ , with edge weights  $w : E \rightarrow \{-n^c, \dots, n^c\}$  (for some  $c > 0$ ), determine if there are three vertices  $i \in A, j \in B, k \in C$  such that  $w(i, j) + w(j, k) + w(k, i) < 0$  holds.

Prove that these variants are equivalent under (subcubic) fine-grained reductions, that is, prove

$$(\mathbf{NegativeTriangle}', n^3) \leq_{fgr} (\mathbf{NegativeTriangle}, n^3) \leq_{fgr} (\mathbf{NegativeTriangle}', n^3).$$

— Exercise 4 —

6 points —

The **Metricity Problem** is defined as follows: Given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with entries in  $\{0, \dots, n^c\}$  for some constant  $c > 0$ , decide whether for every  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$   $A_{ij} \leq A_{ik} + A_{kj}$  holds.

Prove that the **Metricity Problem** is equivalent to **APSP** under (subcubic) fine-grained reductions, that is, prove

$$(\mathbf{APSP}, n^3) \leq_{fgr} (\mathbf{Metricity}, n^3) \leq_{fgr} (\mathbf{APSP}, n^3).$$

(Hint: Solve Metricity using Min-Plus Product and reduce Negative Triangle' to Metricity.)

— Exercise 5 —

5 + 5 points —

Consider the following graph problem of finding a triangle of zero weight:

**ZeroTriangle:** Given a weighted directed graph  $G = (V, E, w)$  with edge weights  $w : E \rightarrow \{-n^c, \dots, n^c\}$  (for some  $c > 0$ ), determine if there are three vertices  $i, j, k$  such that  $w(i, j) + w(j, k) + w(k, i) = 0$  holds.

- a) Given a  $B$ -bit integer  $x$ , define  $pre_\ell(x)$  as the integer obtained from  $x$  by removing the last  $B - \ell$  bits of  $x$ . (If you are familiar with the “right-shift operator”  $\gg$  of common programming languages, then  $pre_\ell(x)$  can be defined as  $pre_\ell(x) := x \gg (B - \ell)$ .)

Prove that for any non-negative integers  $x, y, z$ , we have the following equivalence:

$$x + y > z \iff \text{There are } 1 \leq \ell \leq B, b \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ with } pre_\ell(x) + pre_\ell(y) = pre_\ell(z) + b.$$

- b) Prove that there is a (subcubic) fine-grained reduction from **APSP** to **ZeroTriangle**, that is, prove

$$(\mathbf{APSP}, n^3) \leq_{fgr} (\mathbf{ZeroTriangle}, n^3).$$

(Hint: You may reduce from Negative Triangle' defined in Exercise 3.)