## ${ m A}\,$ Mathematical Background

## A.1 Calculus

In this appendix, we state some basic results from calculus used in various places throughout the text. We refer the reader to Ross [1] for a systematic derivation of these results.

**Theorem A.1** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I, cf. Ross [1] §34.1). Suppose f is continuous on the interval [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b). If f' is integrable on [a, b], then

$$\int_a^b f'(x) \, dx = f(b) - f(a).$$

**Theorem A.2** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II, cf. Ross [1] §34.3). Suppose f is an integrable function on [a, b]. Then for  $x \in [a, b]$ , define

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) \, dt$$

Then F is continuous on [a, b]. If f is continuous at  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ , then F is differentiable at  $x_0$  and  $F'(x_0) = f(x_0)$ .

**Theorem A.3** (Change of Variables Formula, cf. Ross [1] §34.4). Suppose u is a continuously differentiable function on an open interval J. Let I be an open interval such that  $u(x) \in I$  for all  $x \in J$ . If f is continuous on I, then  $f \circ u$  is continuous on J and

$$\int_a^b (f \circ u)(x) \cdot u'(x) \, dx = \int_{u(a)}^{u(b)} f(u) \, du$$

**Lemma A.4.** For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i | i \in [k]\}$ , where each  $f_i: [t_0, t_1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, and  $[t_0, t_1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $F: [t_0, t_1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(t) := \max_{i \in [k]} \{f_i(t)\}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  has the property that for every i and t, if  $f_i(t) = F(t)$ , then  $\frac{d}{dt}f_i(t) \leq r$ . Then for all  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ , we have  $F(t) \leq F(t_0) + r(t - t_0)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the stronger claim that for all *a*, *b* satisfying  $t_0 \le a < b \le t_1$ , we have

$$\frac{F(b) - F(a)}{b - a} \le r. \tag{A.1}$$

To this end, suppose to the contrary that there exist  $a_0 < b_0$  satisfying  $(F(b_0) - F(a_0))/(b_0 - a_0) \ge r + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We define a sequence of nested intervals  $[a_0, b_0] \supset [a_1, b_1] \supset \cdots$  as follows. Given  $[a_j, b_j]$ , let  $c_j = (b_j + a_j)/2$  be the midpoint of  $a_j$  and  $b_j$ . Observe that

$$\frac{F(b_j)-F(a_j)}{b_j-a_j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{F(b_j)-F(c_j)}{b_j-c_j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{F(c_j)-F(a_j)}{c_j-a_j} \ge r+\varepsilon,$$

so that

$$\frac{F(b_j) - F(c_j)}{b_j - c_j} \ge r + \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{F(c_j) - F(a_j)}{c_j - a_j} \ge r + \varepsilon.$$

If the first inequality holds, define  $a_{j+1} = c_j$ ,  $b_{j+1} = b_j$ , and otherwise define  $a_{j+1} = a_j$ ,  $b_j = c_j$ . From the construction of the sequence, it is clear that for all *j* we have

$$\frac{F(b_j) - F(a_j)}{b_j - a_j} \ge r + \varepsilon.$$
(A.2)

Observe that the sequences  $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  ar both bounded and monotonic, hence convergent. Further, since  $b_j - a_j = \frac{1}{2^j}(b_0 - a_0)$ , the two sequences share the same limit.

Define

$$c \coloneqq \lim_{j \to \infty} a_j = \lim_{j \to \infty} b_j,$$

and let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  be a function satisfying f(c) = F(c). By the hypothesis of the lemma, we have  $f'(c) \leq r$ , so that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(c+h) - f(h)}{h} \le r.$$

Therefore, there exists some h > 0 such that for all  $t \in [c - h, c + h], t \neq c$ , we have

$$\frac{f(t)-f(c)}{t-c} \leq r+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

Further, from the definition of *c*, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $j \ge N$ , we have  $a_j, b_j \in [c-h, c+h]$ . In particular this implies that for all sufficiently large *j*, we have

$$\frac{f(c) - f(a_j)}{c - a_j} \le r + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon,\tag{A.3}$$

$$\frac{f(b_j) - f(c)}{b_j - c} \le r + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon. \tag{A.4}$$

Since  $f(a_i) \le F(a_i)$  and f(c) = F(c), (A.3) implies that for all  $j \ge N$ ,

$$\frac{F(c) - F(a_j)}{c - a_j} \le r + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

However, this expression combined with with (A.2) implies that for all  $j \ge N$ 

$$\frac{F(b_j) - F(c)}{b_j - c} \ge r + \varepsilon. \tag{A.5}$$

## A.1 Calculus

Since F(c) = f(c), the previous expression together with (A.4) implies that for all  $j \ge N$  we have  $f(b_j) < F(b_j)$ .

For each  $j \ge N$ , let  $g_j \in \mathcal{F}$  be a function such that  $g_j(b_j) = F(b_j)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, there exists some  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $g = g_j$  for infinitely many values j. Let  $j_0 < j_1 < \cdots$  be the subsequence such that  $g = g_{j_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $j_k$ , we have  $F(b_{j_k}) = g(b_{j_k})$ . Further, since F and g are continuous, we have

$$g(c) = \lim_{k \to \infty} g(b_{j_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} F(b_{j_k}) = F(c) = f(c).$$

By (A.5), we therefore have that for all k

$$\frac{g(b_{j_k})-g(c)}{b_{j_k}-c}=\frac{F(b_j)-F(c)}{b_j-c}\geq r+\varepsilon.$$

However, this final expression contradicts the assumption that  $g'(c) \leq r$ . Therefore, (A.1) holds, as desired.