# Exercise 5: Size matters!

# Task 1: As small as possible, please?

A forest decomposition of a graph G = (V, E) is a decomposition of G into directed forests  $F_1 = (V, E_1), \ldots, F_f = (V, E_f)$ , such that (i) each  $e \in E$  occurs in one and only one  $E_i$ , and (ii) every  $v \in V$  knows, for every forest  $F_i$ , its parent node w.r.t.  $F_i$  if applicable.

Consider the following minimum dominating set (MDS) approximation algorithm, where P(v) is the set of parents of v. Let M be an MDS of G.

### Algorithm 1 MDS approximation algorithm based on a forest decomposition.

```
1: H := \left(V, \left\{\{v, w\} \in \binom{V}{2} \mid P(v) \cap P(w) \neq \emptyset\right\}\right)

2: compute an MIS I of H

3: D := \bigcup_{v \in I} P(v)

4: add all v \in V \setminus D without a neighbor in D to D
```

- a) Show that Algorithm 1 can be implemented in the synchronous message passing model with running time  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p.!
- b) Denote by  $V_C \subseteq V$  the set of nodes that are in M or have a child in M. Show that  $|V_C| \leq (f+1)|M|!$
- c) Denote by  $V_P \subseteq V$  the set of nodes that have some parent in M. Show that  $|I \cap V_P| \le |M|!$
- d) Prove that after Line 3 of the Algorithm 1, at most (f+1)|M| nodes are not covered by D.
- e) Conclude that Algorithm 1 computes a dominating set that is at most by factor  $\mathcal{O}(f^2)$  larger than the optimum! (Hint:  $V = V_C \cup V_P$ .)
- f)\* Show that even if we restrict message size to  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  bits, the algorithm can be implemented with running time  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p.

#### Task 2: Lots of Wood

5: return D

Denote by A(G) the arboricity of G=(V,E), i.e., the minimum number of forests into which E can be decomposed. Our goal in this exercise is to decompose G into  $f\in\mathcal{O}(A)$  forests.

### **Algorithm 2** Forest decomposition, A(G) is known.

```
1: while V \neq \emptyset do

2: for all v \in V with \delta_v \leq 4A(G) in parallel do

3: v assigns its incident edges to different forests F_1, \ldots, F_{4A(G)}

4: delete v (and its incident edges) from G

5: end for

6: end while

7: return the computed forests (each node knows its parent in F_1, \ldots, F_{4A(G)})
```

- a) Show that in each iteration of the WHILE loop, at least half of the remaining nodes are deleted! (Hint: Assume that this is false and bound the number of remaining edges from below. Compare the result to the maximum number of edges in A(G) forests.)
- b) Conclude that the algorithm computes a decomposition of G into at most 4A(G) forests in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  rounds!
- c) Change the algorithm so that it does not require knowledge of A(G), but instead relies on an upper bound  $N \in n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  on n! You may use up to 8A(G) forests and increase the running time of the algorithm by a factor of  $\mathcal{O}(\log A(G))!^1$
- d) Conclude that in graphs of arboricity A, a factor- $\mathcal{O}(A^2)$  approximation to MDS<sup>2</sup> can be found in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n \log A)$  rounds w.h.p., provided that an upper bound  $N \in n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  on n is known!
- e)\* Can you do it in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  rounds if A is unknown, but an upper bound  $N \in n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  on n is known?

# Task 3\*: Exponential Enhancement

- a) Why is Chernoff's bound called Chernoff's bound?
- b) Show that for independent variables  $X_i$ ,  $i \in I$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i \in I} X_i\right] = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ .
- c) Use Markov's bound to show that for positive  $X_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , and arbitrary  $t, \delta > 0$ ,

$$P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i \in I} e^{tX_i}\right]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]}}.$$

d) Use b) and c) to infer that if the  $X_i$  are independent Bernoulli variables, then

$$P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{e^{(e^t-1)\mathbb{E}[X]}}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]}}.$$

- e) Plug in  $t := \ln(1+\delta)$ . You obtain the upper tail bound; choosing  $\delta \in (0,1)$  and  $t = 1 \delta$  yields the lower tail bound.<sup>3</sup> The bounds derived here are stronger than those in the lecture, but more unwieldy. For most applications, the simpler versions suffice.
- f) Enlarge the knowledge of the exercise group by reporting your findings!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Forest decompositions into f forests are particularly interesting if  $f \ge A(G)$  is small, hence usually  $\log A(G)$  is very small!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Read: "a dominating set at most a constant factor larger than an MDS".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Note that one has to introduce a minus sign in the exponents in b) to still be able to apply Markov's inequality.