

Multivariate Algorithmics: Problem set 4

Dr. Karl Bringmann, Dr. Holger Dell, Cornelius Brand, Marc Roth
<http://bit.ly/MuLA1g18>

Due: Before the lecture on December 04, 2018 Tutorial: December 06, 2018

Problem 4.1 In the *Partial Dominating Set* problem, we are given an undirected graph G and positive integers k and t , and the goal is to check whether there exists a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most k such that $|N_G[X]| \geq t$. Obtain an algorithm running in time $2^{O(t)}n^{O(1)}$ for the problem.

Problem 4.2 (🍷) Let n be a positive integer and consider the vector space E_n which is defined to be the linear span (over \mathbb{R}) of the basis $\{e_S \mid S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. That is, vectors of E_n are of the form

$$\sum_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \lambda_S e_S,$$

where $\lambda_S \in \mathbb{R}$ for every S . We define a vector multiplication \wedge over (the basis of) E_n as follows:

$$e_S \wedge e_T = \varphi(S, T) \cdot e_{S \cup T},$$

where $\varphi(S, T) = 0$ if $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi(S, T) = \text{sgn}(\sigma_{S, T})^1$ otherwise. Here $\sigma_{S, T}$ is the permutation that, given the sequence of elements of S and T , each ordered, outputs the ordered sequence over all elements of $S \cup T$. **Example:** $\varphi(\{4, 2\}, \{2, 3, 1\}) = 0$ and $\varphi(\{5, 4\}, \{2, 3, 1\}) = \text{sgn}(14253)$ because the permutation (14253) orders the sequence 4, 5, 1, 2, 3, which again is the sequence of $\{5, 4\}$ and $\{2, 3, 1\}$ where each set is ordered.

Prove or disprove: For every $e \in E_n \setminus \{0\}$ it holds that $e^2 = 0$.

Problem 4.3 (🐼) In the *Closest String* problem, we are given a set of k strings x_1, \dots, x_k over alphabet Σ , each of length L , and a positive integer d . The goal is to find a string y of length L such that the *Hamming Distance*² between y and x_i is bounded by d for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Prove that the problem is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized by k and $|\Sigma|$.

Hint: Formulate the problem as an ILP and use the fact that ILP is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized by the number of variables.

Bonus Point: Show that the problem is fixed-parameter tractable even when parameterized only by k .

Problem 4.4 (🍷) In the *Cycle Packing* problem, we are given an undirected graph G and a positive integer k , and the goal is to check whether there exist k cycles in G that are pairwise vertex disjoint. Prove that the problem is nonuniformly fixed-parameter tractable, when parameterized by k .

Problem 4.5 Prove that *Undirected Hamiltonicity*³ is fixed-parameter tractable when parameterized pathwidth. You may assume the existence of an algorithm \mathbb{A} that, given an undirected graph G and a positive integer k , computes a (nice) path decomposition of width k of G or correctly decides that there is no such path decomposition. Furthermore \mathbb{A} runs in time $f(k)n^{O(1)}$. (It is not allowed to apply algorithmic meta-theorems such as Courcelle's theorem. Instead you are required to construct a dynamic programming algorithm over the path decomposition of the input graph.)

Problem 4.6 (🐼) Show that the pathwidth of an n -vertex tree is at most $\lceil \log n \rceil$. Construct a class of trees of pathwidth k and $O(3^k)$ vertices.

¹The sign of a permutation is $(-1)^m$ where m is the number of transpositions, e.g. $\text{sgn}(14253) = \text{sgn}((14)(42)(25)(53)) = (-1)^4 = 1$.

²The Hamming Distance between two strings x and y of the same length is the number of positions i such that $x_i \neq y_i$.

³That is, given an undirected graph G , decide whether G contains an Hamilton Cycle.