

Exercise 5: Size matters!

Task 1: As small as possible, please?

A *forest decomposition* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a decomposition of G into directed forests $F_1 = (V, E_1), \dots, F_f = (V, E_f)$, such that (i) each $e \in E$ occurs in one and only one E_i , and (ii) every $v \in V$ knows, for every forest F_i , its parent node w.r.t. F_i if applicable.

Consider the following minimum dominating set (MDS) approximation algorithm, where $P(v)$ is the set of parents of v . Let M be an MDS of G .

Algorithm 1 MDS approximation algorithm based on a forest decomposition.

- 1: $H := \left(V, \left\{ \{v, w\} \in \binom{V}{2} \mid P(v) \cap P(w) \neq \emptyset \right\} \right)$
 - 2: compute an MIS I of H
 - 3: $D' := \bigcup_{v \in I} P(v)$
 - 4: $D := D' \cup \{ \text{all } v \in V \setminus D \text{ without a neighbor in } D \text{ to } D \}$
 - 5: return D
-

- a) Show that Algorithm 1 can be implemented in the synchronous message passing model with running time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ w.h.p.!
 - b) Denote by $V_C \subseteq V$ the set of nodes that are in M or have a child in M . Show that $|V_C| \leq (f+1)|M|$!
 - c) Denote by $V_P \subseteq V$ the set of nodes that have some parent in M . Show that $|I \cap V_P| \leq |M|$!
 - d) Prove that at most $(f+1)|M|$ nodes are not covered by D' .
 - e) Conclude that Algorithm 1 computes a dominating set that is at most by factor $\mathcal{O}(f^2)$ larger than the optimum!
- Hint:** $V = V_C \cup V_P$.
- f)* Show that even if we restrict message size to $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ bits, the algorithm can be implemented with running time $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ w.h.p.

Task 2: Lots of Wood

Denote by $A(G)$ the *arboricity* of $G = (V, E)$, i.e., the minimum number of forests into which E can be decomposed. Our goal in this exercise is to decompose G into $f \in \mathcal{O}(A)$ forests.

Algorithm 2 Forest decomposition, initial $A(G)$ is known.

- 1: $A_{init} := A(G)$
 - 2: **while** $V \neq \emptyset$ **do**
 - 3: **for** all $v \in V$ with $\delta_v \leq 4A_{init}$ in parallel **do**
 - 4: assign neighbors as parents in forests $F_1, \dots, F_{4A_{init}}$; break ties by node id
 - 5: delete v (and its incident edges) from G
 - 6: **end for**
 - 7: **end while**
 - 8: return the computed forests (each node knows its parent in $F_1, \dots, F_{4A_{init}}$)
-

- a) Show that in each iteration of the WHILE loop, at least half of the remaining nodes are deleted!

Hint: Assume that this is false and bound the number of remaining edges from below. Compare the result to the maximum number of edges in $A(G)$ forests.

- b) Conclude that the algorithm computes a decomposition of G into at most $4A(G)$ directed forests in $\lceil \log n \rceil + 1$ rounds!
- c) Change the algorithm so that it does not require knowledge of $A(G)$, but instead relies only on $n!$ You may use up to $8A(G)$ forests and increase the running time of the algorithm by a factor of $\mathcal{O}(\log A(G))$ ¹.
- d) Conclude that in graphs of arboricity A , a factor- $\mathcal{O}(A^2)$ approximation to MDS² can be found in $\mathcal{O}(\log n \log A)$ rounds w.h.p., even if n and $A(G)$ are unknown, but an upper bound $N \geq n$ is given, with $N \in n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ on $n!$
- e)* Can you do it in $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ rounds, only with $N \geq n$, $N \in n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ known?

Hint: Exploit the upper bound $A(G) \leq n - 1$ and the fact that we use the LOCAL model.

Task 3*: Exponential Enhancement

- a) Why is Chernoff's bound called Chernoff's bound?
- b) Show that for independent variables $X_i, i \in I, \mathbb{E}[\prod_{i \in I} X_i] = \prod \mathbb{E}[X_i]$.
- c) Let $X_i, i \in I$, be random variables, and define $X = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$. Use Markov's bound to show that for arbitrary $t, \delta > 0$,

$$P[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i \in I} e^{tX_i}]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]}}.$$

- d) Use b) and c) to infer that if the X_i are independent Bernoulli variables, then

$$P[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{e^{(e^t - 1)\mathbb{E}[X]}}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]}}.$$

- e) Plug in $t := \ln(1 + \delta)$. You obtain the upper tail bound; choosing $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $t = 1 - \delta$ yields the lower tail bound.³ The bounds derived here are stronger than those in the lecture, but more unwieldy. For most applications, the simpler versions suffice.
- f) Enlarge the knowledge of the exercise group by reporting your findings!

¹Forest decompositions into f forests are particularly interesting if $f \geq A(G)$ is small, hence usually $\log A(G)$ is *very* small!

²Read: "a dominating set at most factor $\mathcal{O}(A^2)$ larger than an MDS."

³Note that one has to introduce a minus sign in the exponents in b) to still be able to apply Markov's inequality.