

Exercise 10: Exercising in Style

Task 1: Very Exclusive!

The goal of this exercise is to see that the RMW primitives we have seen in the lecture lend themselves to nearly trivial mutex implementations.

Throughout this exercise you are allowed to assume that registers can hold unbounded values, i.e., that overflows do not occur.

- a) Give a solution to mutual exclusion using a fetch-and-add register.
- b) Give a solution to mutual exclusion using a compare-and-swap register.
- c) Give a solution to mutual exclusion using a load-link/store-conditional register.
- d) Your solutions should all be obstruction-free. Can you prevent lockouts, too?

Hint: Give a generic solution that works for a) – c). There are plenty of different solutions. A generic one that uses only RW registers is to have a “want” flag for each node that it sets to 1 if it wants to enter the critical section, and then let whoever wins mutual exclusion close the bidding phase (no more new wants). Subsequently, switch to a mode that lets the nodes with raised flag each enter the critical section once and then return to the basic mutual exclusion algorithm.

Task 2: Bonsai Splitter Tree

In this exercise, we construct a highly space-efficient randomized variant of the splitter tree from the lecture.

- a) Show that there is a (randomized) splitter such that each node that does not **stop** turns **left** or **right** with probability $1/2$ each, independently of other nodes entering the splitter! Note that this allows, e.g., k nodes to turn **left**, or k nodes to turn **right**. We still require that at most one node **stops** at the splitter, and if only one node enters the splitter it must **stop**.
- b) Show that for a tree of $\Theta(n)$ leaves (constants are your choice), w.h.p., a constant fraction of all nodes obtains **stop** at some splitter.

Hint: Fix a node and show that regardless of what the other nodes do it **stops** in the tree with constant probability. Then it’s Chernoff time!

- c) Now iterate: Let all nodes that did not **stop** enter a second splitter tree, rinse, and repeat. Show that this way, you can achieve
 - (a) $\mathcal{O}(\log k)$ expected step complexity for the first STORE of each node
 - (b) $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$ step complexity w.h.p. for the first STORE of each node
 - (c) $\mathcal{O}(n)$ total space (this should suffice w.h.p.)
 - (d) $\mathcal{O}(k)$ expected step complexity for COLLECT

Hint: For the space bound, just let the size of each new tree be smaller than the previous by a constant factor. For everything else, apply the results from the lecture and use probabilistic bounds where needed (for our randomized splitters all nodes might turn, e.g., **left!**).

Task 3*: Stage Names

- a) Find out what the *renaming* problem is!
- b) Can it be helpful with STORE & COLLECT?
- c) Do you think renaming is useful for mutual exclusion?
- d) What happens if we consider mutual exclusion with crash failures? Do things go south, or is there a way out?
- e) Present these newest trends in the TA session!