



Bromberger/Möhle/Schwarz/Weidenbach

February 2, 2023

Tutorials for “Automated Reasoning WS22/23”  
Exercise sheet 14

This exercise sheet is prototypical for the final exam!

**Exercise 14.1:**

Use CDCL to decide satisfiability of the following clause set.

- |                              |                              |                              |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| (1) $P_1 \vee P_2 \vee P_3$  | (2) $P_4 \vee P_5 \vee P_6$  | (3) $\neg P_3 \vee P_5$      |
| (4) $\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_4$ | (5) $\neg P_2 \vee \neg P_5$ | (6) $\neg P_3 \vee \neg P_6$ |
| (7) $\neg P_6$               | (8) $\neg P_5 \vee P_2$      |                              |

**Exercise 14.2:**

Consider the following clause set  $N$  with respect to an LPO where  $g \succ f \succ b \succ a$ .

$$N = \{f(a, b) \approx b, b \approx a \vee b \approx g(a), b \not\approx g(b), f(a, g(a)) \approx g(b), b \not\approx a\}$$

1. Compute  $N_{\mathcal{I}}$ .
2. Determine the minimal false clause.
3. Compute the superposition inference out of 2., add it to the clause set  $N$  compute the new respective  $N_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

**Exercise 14.3:**

Refute the following clause set by superposition. Choose an appropriate ordering and selection strategy.

$$N = \{f(x, y) \approx g(y, x) \vee R(x, y), \neg R(g(x, y), a), R(f(g(x, y), y), y), \neg R(g(a, x), b) \vee f(x, a) \not\approx g(x, a)\}$$

**Exercise 14.4:**

Use the congruence closure algorithm to check whether the following conjunction of equations

$$f(f(a)) \approx a \wedge f(a) \approx b \wedge f(f(b)) \approx g(b) \wedge a \not\approx b \wedge h(a, b) \not\approx h(a, g(b))$$

is false.

**Exercise 14.5:**

Consider the below set of inequations and apply the simplex algorithm to it:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x + 5y &\leq -17 \\ 3x + 7y &\leq -24 \\ 2x + 5y &\geq -17 \\ 3x + 7y &\geq -24 \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 14.6:**

Prove or refute by counterexample the following statements:

1. If  $\phi$  is a first-order formula and  $x$  a variable, then  $\phi$  is unsatisfiable if and only if  $\exists x.\phi$  is unsatisfiable.
2. If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are first-order formulas and  $x$  is a variable, then  $\forall x.(\phi \wedge \psi) \models (\forall x.\phi) \wedge (\forall x.\psi)$  and  $(\forall x.\phi) \wedge (\forall x.\psi) \models \forall x.(\phi \wedge \psi)$ .
3. If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are first-order formulas and  $x$  is a variable, then  $\exists x.(\phi \wedge \psi) \models (\exists x.\phi) \wedge (\exists x.\psi)$  and  $(\exists x.\phi) \wedge (\exists x.\psi) \models \exists x.(\phi \wedge \psi)$ .

**Exercise 14.7:**

Let  $\phi = P(f(c)) \wedge \forall x.(P(x) \rightarrow P(f(x)))$  and  $\psi = \forall x.P(f(f(x)))$  be first-order formulas. Prove that every Herbrand model of  $\phi$  considering the signature of  $\phi$  only is also a model of  $\psi$ .

It is not encouraged to prepare joint solutions, because we do not support joint exams.