



max planck institut
informatik

Automated Reasoning I

Christoph Weidenbach

Max Planck Institute for Informatics

October 16, 2018

Automated Reasoning

Given a specification of a system, develop technology

logics,
calculi,
algorithms,
implementations,

to automatically execute the specification and to automatically prove properties of the specification.

Concept

Slides: Definitions, Lemmas, Theorems, ...

Blackboard: Examples, Proofs, ...

Speech: Motivate, Explain, ...

Script: Slides, partially Blackboard ...

Exams: able to calculate \rightarrow pass
understand \rightarrow (very) good grade





Orderings

1.4.1 Definition (Orderings)

A (*partial*) *ordering* \succeq (or simply ordering) on a set M , denoted (M, \succeq) , is a reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive binary relation on M .

It is a *total ordering* if it also satisfies the totality property.

A *strict (partial) ordering* \succ is a transitive and irreflexive binary relation on M .

A strict ordering is *well-founded*, if there is no infinite descending chain $m_0 \succ m_1 \succ m_2 \succ \dots$ where $m_i \in M$.



1.4.3 Definition (Minimal and Smallest Elements)

Given a strict ordering (M, \succ) , an element $m \in M$ is called *minimal*, if there is no element $m' \in M$ so that $m \succ m'$.

An element $m \in M$ is called *smallest*, if $m' \succ m$ for all $m' \in M$ different from m .





Multisets

Given a set M , a *multiset* S over M is a mapping $S: M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where S specifies the number of occurrences of elements m of the base set M within the multiset S . I use the standard set notations $\in, \subset, \subseteq, \cup, \cap$ with the analogous meaning for multisets, for example $(S_1 \cup S_2)(m) = S_1(m) + S_2(m)$.

A multiset S over a set M is *finite* if $\{m \in M \mid S(m) > 0\}$ is finite. For the purpose of this lecture I only consider finite multisets.





Induction

Theorem (Noetherian Induction)

Let (M, \succ) be a well-founded ordering, and let Q be a predicate over elements of M . If for all $m \in M$ the implication

if $Q(m')$, for all $m' \in M$ so that $m \succ m'$, (induction hypothesis)
then $Q(m)$. (induction step)

is satisfied, then the property $Q(m)$ holds for all $m \in M$.





Abstract Rewrite Systems

1.6.1 Definition (Rewrite System)

A *rewrite system* is a pair (M, \rightarrow) , where M is a non-empty set and $\rightarrow \subseteq M \times M$ is a binary relation on M .

$$\rightarrow^0 = \{ (a, a) \mid a \in M \}$$

identity

$$\rightarrow^{i+1} = \rightarrow^i \circ \rightarrow$$

$i + 1$ -fold composition

$$\rightarrow^+ = \bigcup_{i > 0} \rightarrow^i$$

transitive closure

$$\rightarrow^* = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \rightarrow^i = \rightarrow^+ \cup \rightarrow^0$$

reflexive transitive closure

$$\rightarrow^= = \rightarrow \cup \rightarrow^0$$

reflexive closure

$$\rightarrow^{-1} = \leftarrow = \{ (b, c) \mid c \rightarrow b \}$$

inverse

$$\leftrightarrow = \rightarrow \cup \leftarrow$$

symmetric closure

$$\leftrightarrow^+ = (\leftrightarrow)^+$$

transitive symmetric closure

$$\leftrightarrow^* = (\leftrightarrow)^*$$

refl. trans. symmetric closure





LA Equations Rewrite System

M is the set of all LA equations sets N over \mathbb{Q}

\doteq includes normalizing the equation

Eliminate $\{x \doteq s, x \doteq t\} \uplus N \Rightarrow_{\text{LAE}} \{x \doteq s, x \doteq t, s \doteq t\} \cup N$
 provided $s \neq t$, and $s \doteq t \notin N$

Fail $\{q_1 \doteq q_2\} \uplus N \Rightarrow_{\text{LAE}} \emptyset$
 provided $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q_1 \neq q_2$



Rewrite Systems on Logics: Calculi

	Validity	Satisfiability
Sound	If the calculus derives a proof of validity for the formula, it is valid.	If the calculus derives satisfiability of the formula, it has a model.
Complete	If the formula is valid, a proof of validity is derivable by the calculus.	If the formula has a model, the calculus derives satisfiability.
Strongly Complete	For any validity proof of the formula, there is a derivation in the calculus producing this proof.	For any model of the formula, there is a derivation in the calculus producing this model.

