

If the matter of discussion does not depend on a particular equivalence relation or it is unambiguously known from the context, $[t]$ is used instead of $[t]_{\sim}$. The above definition is equivalent to Definition 3.2.3.

The set of all equivalence classes in $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ defined by the equivalence relation is called a *quotient by* \sim , denoted by $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})|_{\sim} := \{[t] \mid t \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})\}$. Let E be a set of equations then \sim_E denotes the smallest congruence relation “containing” E , that is, $(l \approx r) \in E$ implies $l \sim_E r$. The equivalence class $[t]_{\sim_E}$ of a term t by the equivalence (congruence) \sim_E is usually denoted, for short, by $[t]_E$. Likewise, $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})|_E$ is used for the quotient $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})|_{\sim_E}$ of $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ by the equivalence (congruence) \sim_E .

4.1.1 Definition (Rewrite Rule, Term Rewrite System)

A *rewrite rule* is an equation $l \approx r$ between two terms l and r so that l is not a variable and $vars(l) \supseteq vars(r)$. A *term rewrite system* R , or a TRS for short, is a set of rewrite rules.

4.1.2 Definition (Rewrite Relation)

Let E be a set of (implicitly universally quantified) equations, i.e., unit clauses containing exactly one positive equation. The *rewrite relation* $\rightarrow_E \subseteq T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X}) \times T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ is defined by

$$s \rightarrow_E t \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{there exist } (l \approx r) \in E, p \in pos(s), \\ \text{and matcher } \sigma, \text{ so that } s|_p = l\sigma \text{ and } t = s[r\sigma]_p.$$

Note that in particular for any equation $l \approx r \in E$ it holds $l \rightarrow_E r$, so the equation can also be written $l \rightarrow r \in E$.

Often $s = t \downarrow_R$ is written to denote that s is a normal form of t with respect to the rewrite relation \rightarrow_R . Notions

\rightarrow_R^0 , \rightarrow_R^+ , \rightarrow_R^* , \leftrightarrow_R^* , etc. are defined accordingly, see Section 1.6.

An instance of the left-hand side of an equation is called a *redex* (reducible expression). *Contracting* a redex means replacing it with the corresponding instance of the right-hand side of the rule.

A term rewrite system R is called *convergent* if the rewrite relation \rightarrow_R is confluent and terminating. A set of equations E or a TRS R is terminating if the rewrite relation \rightarrow_E or \rightarrow_R has this property. Furthermore, if E is terminating then it is a TRS.

A rewrite system is called *right-reduced* if for all rewrite rules $l \rightarrow r$ in R , the term r is irreducible by R . A rewrite system R is called *left-reduced* if for all rewrite rules $l \rightarrow r$ in R , the term l is irreducible by $R \setminus \{l \rightarrow r\}$. A rewrite system is called *reduced* if it is left- and right-reduced.

4.1.3 Lemma (Left-Reduced TRS)

Left-reduced terminating rewrite systems are convergent.
 Convergent rewrite systems define unique normal forms.

4.1.4 Lemma (TRS Termination)

A rewrite system R terminates iff there exists a reduction ordering \succ so that $l \succ r$, for each rule $l \rightarrow r$ in R .

Let E be a set of universally quantified equations. A model \mathcal{A} of E is also called an E -algebra. If $E \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$, i.e., $\forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$ is valid in all E -algebras, this is also denoted with $s \approx_E t$. The goal is to use the rewrite relation \rightarrow_E to express the semantic consequence relation syntactically: $s \approx_E t$ if and only if $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$.

Let E be a set of (well-sorted) equations over $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ where all variables are implicitly universally quantified. The following inference system allows to derive consequences of E :

Reflexivity $E \Rightarrow_E E \cup \{t \approx t\}$

Symmetry $E \uplus \{t \approx t'\} \Rightarrow_E E \cup \{t \approx t'\} \cup \{t' \approx t\}$

Transitivity $E \uplus \{t \approx t', t' \approx t''\} \Rightarrow_E$
 $E \cup \{t \approx t', t' \approx t''\} \cup \{t \approx t''\}$

Congruence $E \uplus \{t_1 \approx t'_1, \dots, t_n \approx t'_n\} \Rightarrow_E$
 $E \cup \{t_1 \approx t'_1, \dots, t_n \approx t'_n\} \cup \{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx f(t'_1, \dots, t'_n)\}$
 for any function $f : \text{sort}(t_1) \times \dots \times \text{sort}(t_n) \rightarrow S$ for some S

Instance $E \uplus \{t \approx t'\} \Rightarrow_E E \cup \{t \approx t'\} \cup \{t\sigma \approx t'\sigma\}$
 for any well-sorted substitution σ

4.1.5 Lemma (Equivalence of \leftrightarrow_E^* and \Rightarrow_E^*)

The following properties are equivalent:

1. $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$
2. $E \Rightarrow_E^* s \approx t$ is derivable.

where $E \Rightarrow_E^* s \approx t$ is an abbreviation for $E \Rightarrow_E^* E'$ and $s \approx t \in E'$.

4.1.6 Corollary (Convergence of E)

If a set of equations E is convergent then $s \approx_E t$ if and only if $s \leftrightarrow^* t$ if and only if $s \downarrow_E = t \downarrow_E$.

4.1.7 Corollary (Decidability of \approx_E)

If a set of equations E is finite and convergent then \approx_E is decidable.

The above Lemma 4.1.5 shows equivalence of the syntactically defined relations \leftrightarrow_E^* and $Rightarrow_E^*$. What is missing, in analogy to Herbrand's theorem for first-order logic without equality Theorem 3.5.5, is a semantic characterization of the relations by a particular algebra.

4.1.8 Definition (Quotient Algebra)

For sets of unit equations this is a *quotient algebra*: Let X be a set of variables. For $t \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ let $[t] = \{t' \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X}) \mid E \Rightarrow_E^* t \approx t'\}$ be the *congruence class* of t . Define a Σ -algebra \mathcal{I}_E , called the *quotient algebra*, technically $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})/E$, as follows: $S^{\mathcal{I}_E} = \{[t] \mid t \in T_S(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})\}$ for all sorts S and $f^{\mathcal{I}_E}([t_1], \dots, [t_n]) = [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)]$ for $f : \text{sort}(t_1) \times \dots \times \text{sort}(t_n) \rightarrow T \in \Omega$ for some sort T .

4.1.9 Lemma (\mathcal{I}_E is an E -algebra)

$\mathcal{I}_E = T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})/E$ is an E -algebra.

4.1.10 Lemma (\Rightarrow_E is complete)

Let \mathcal{X} be a countably infinite set of variables; let $s, t \in T_S(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$.
If $\mathcal{I}_E \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$, then $E \Rightarrow_E^* s \approx t$ is derivable.