## Simplex

The Simplex algorithm is the prime algorithm for solving optimization problems of systems of linear inequations over the rationals. For automated reasoning optimization at the level of conjunctions of inequations is not in focus. Rather, solvability of a set of linear inequations as a subproblem of some theory combination is the typical application. In this context the simplex algorithm is useful as well, due to its incremental nature. If an inequation  $t \circ c, o \in \{\leq, \geq, <, >\}, t = \sum a_i x_i, a_i, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , is added to a set N of inequations where the simplex algorithm has already found a solution for N, the algorithm needs not to start from scratch. Instead it continues with the solution found for N. In practice, it turns out that then typically only few steps are needed to derive a solution for  $N \cup \{t \circ d\}$  if it exists.



Firstly, the problem is rescritcted to non-strict inequations. Starting point is a set *N* (conjunction) of (non-strict) inequations of the form  $(\sum_{x_j \in X} a_{i,j}x_j) \circ_i c_i$  where  $\circ_i \in \{\geq, \leq\}$  for all *i*. Note that an equation  $\sum a_i x_i = c$  can be encoded by two inequations  $\{\sum a_i x_i \leq c, \sum a_i x_i \geq c\}$ .



The variables occurring in *N* are assumed to be totally ordered by some ordering  $\prec$ . The ordering  $\prec$  will eventually guarantee termination of the simplex algorithm, see Definition 6.2.5 and Theorem 6.2.6 below. I assume the  $x_j$  to be all different, without loss of generality  $x_j \prec x_{j+1}$ , and I assume that all coefficients are normalized by the gcd of the  $a_{i,j}$  for all *j*: if the gcd is different from 1 for one inequation, it is used for division of all coefficients of the inequation.



The goal is to decide whether there exists an assignment  $\beta$  from the  $x_j$  into  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\mathsf{LRA}(\beta) \models \bigwedge_{i} [(\sum_{x_{j} \in X} a_{i,j} x_{j}) \circ_{i} c_{i}]$$

or equivalently, LRA( $\beta$ )  $\models$  *N*. So the *x<sub>j</sub>* are free variables, i.e., placeholders for concrete values, i.e., existentially quantified.



The first step is to transform the set *N* of inequations into two disjoint sets *E*, *B* of equations and simple bounds, respectively. The set *E* contains equations of the form  $y_i \approx \sum_{x_j \in X} a_{i,j}x_j$ , where the  $y_i$  are fresh and the set *B* contains the respective simple bounds  $y_i \circ_i c_i$ . In case the original inequation from *N* was already a simple bound, i.e., of the form  $x_j \circ_j c_j$  it is simply moved to *B*. If in *N* left hand sides of ineqations  $(\sum_{x_j \in X} a_{i,j}x_j) \circ_i c_i$  are shared, it is sufficient to introduce one equation for the respective left hand side. The  $y_i$  are also part of the total ordering  $\prec$  on all variables.



## The two representations are equivalent:

$$\mathsf{LRA}(\beta) \models \mathit{N}$$

## iff

$$LRA(\beta[y_i \mapsto \beta(\sum_{x_j \in X} a_{i,j}x_j)]) \models E$$
  
and  
$$LRA(\beta[y_i \mapsto \beta(\sum_{x_j \in X} a_{i,j}x_j)]) \models B.$$



Given *E* and *B* a variable *z* is called *dependent* if it occurs on the left hand side of an equation in *E*, i.e., there is an equation  $(z \approx \sum_{x_j \in X} a_{i,j}x_j) \in E$ , and in case such a defining equation for *z* does not exist in *E* the variable *z* is called *independent*. Note that by construction the initial  $y_i$  are all dependent and do not occur on the right hand side of an equation.



Given a dependant variable *x*, an independent variable *y*, and a set of equations *E*, the *pivot* operation exchanges the roles of *x*, *y* in *E* where *y* occurs with non-zero coefficient in the defining equation of *x*. Let  $(x \approx ay + t) \in E$  be the defining equation of *x* in *E*. When writing  $(x \approx ay + t)$  for some equation, I always assume that  $y \notin vars(t)$ . Let E' be *E* without the defining equation of *x*. Then

$$\mathsf{piv}(E, x, y) := \{y \approx \frac{1}{a}x + \frac{1}{-a}t\} \cup E'\{y \mapsto (\frac{1}{a}x + \frac{1}{-a}t)\}$$



Given an assignment  $\beta$ , an independent variable *y*, a rational value *c*, and a set of equations *E* then the *update* of  $\beta$  with respect to *y*, *c*, and *E* is

$$\mathsf{upd}(\beta, y, c, E) := \beta[y \mapsto c, \{x \mapsto \beta[y \mapsto c](t) \mid x \approx t \in E\}]$$



A Simplex problem state is a quintuple  $(E; B; \beta; S; s)$  where *E* is a set of equations; *B* a set of simple bounds;  $\beta$  an assignment to all variables in *E*, *B*; *S* a set of derived bounds, and *s* the status of the problem with  $s \in \{\top, \mathsf{IV}, \mathsf{DV}, \bot\}$ . The state  $s = \top$  indicates that  $\mathsf{LRA}(\beta) \models S$ ; the state  $s = \mathsf{IV}$  that potentially  $\mathsf{LRA}(\beta) \not\models x \circ c$ for some independent variable  $x, x \circ c \in S$ ; the state  $s = \mathsf{DV}$  that  $\mathsf{LRA}(\beta) \models x \circ c$  for all independent variables  $x, x \circ c \in S$ , but potentially  $\mathsf{LRA}(\beta) \not\models x' \circ c'$  for some dependent variable  $x', x' \circ c' \in S$ ; and the state  $s = \bot$  that the problem is unsatisfiable.



The following states can be distinguished:

- $(E; B; \beta_0; \emptyset; \top)$  is the start state for *N* and its transformation into *E*, *B*, and assignment  $\beta_0(x) := 0$  for all  $x \in vars(E \cup B)$
- $(E; \emptyset; \beta; S; \top)$  is a final state, where LRA $(\beta) \models E \cup S$  and hence the problem is solvable
- $(E; B; \beta; S; \bot)$  is a final state, where  $E \cup B \cup S$  has no model



The important invariants of the simplex algorithm are:

- (i) for every dependent variable there is exactly one equation in *E* defining the variable and
- (ii) dependent variables do not occur on the right hand side of an equation,
- (iii)  $LRA(\beta) \models E$

These invariants are maintained by a pivot (piv) or an update (upd) operation.



**EstablishBound**  $(E; B \uplus \{x \circ c\}; \beta; S; \top) \Rightarrow_{SIMP} (E; B; \beta; S \cup \{x \circ c\}; IV)$ 

AckBounds  $(E; B; \beta; S; V) \Rightarrow_{SIMP} (E; B; \beta; S; \top)$ if LRA $(\beta) \models S, V \in \{IV, DV\}$ 

FixIndepVar $(E; B; \beta; S; IV) \Rightarrow_{SIMP}$  $(E; B; upd(\beta, x, c, E); S; IV)$ if  $(x \circ c) \in S$ , LRA $(\beta) \not\models x \circ c$ , x independent



**AckIndepBound**  $(E; B; \beta; S; IV) \Rightarrow_{SIMP} (E; B; \beta; S; DV)$ if LRA $(\beta) \models x \circ c$ , for all independent variables *x* with bounds  $x \circ c$  in *S* 

**FixDepVar** $\leq$ (*E*; *B*;  $\beta$ ; *S*; DV)  $\Rightarrow_{SIMP}$  (*E*'; *B*; upd( $\beta$ , *x*, *c*, *E*'); *S*; DV) if ( $x \leq c$ )  $\in$  *S*, *x* dependent, LRA( $\beta$ )  $\not\models x \leq c$ , there is an independent variable *y* and equation ( $x \approx ay + t$ )  $\in$  *E* where (a < 0 and  $\beta(y) < c'$  for all ( $y \leq c'$ )  $\in$  *S*) or (a > 0 and  $\beta(y) > c'$  for all ( $y \geq c'$ )  $\in$  *S*) and *E*' := piv(*E*, *x*, *y*)

**FixDepVar** $\geq$ (*E*; *B*;  $\beta$ ; *S*; DV)  $\Rightarrow_{SIMP}$  (*E*'; *B*; upd( $\beta$ , *x*, *c*, *E*'); *S*; DV) if ( $x \geq c$ )  $\in$  *S*, *x* dependent, LRA( $\beta$ )  $\not\models x \geq c$ , there is an independent variable *y* and equation ( $x \approx ay + t$ )  $\in$  *E* where (a > 0 and  $\beta(y) < c'$  for all ( $y \leq c'$ )  $\in$  *S*) or (a < 0 and  $\beta(y) > c'$  for all ( $y \geq c'$ )  $\in$  *S*) and *E*' := piv(*E*, *x*, *y*)



**FailBounds**  $(E; B; \beta; S; \top) \Rightarrow_{SIMP} (E; B; \beta; S; \bot)$ if there are two contradicting bounds  $x \le c_1$  and  $x \ge c_2$  in  $B \cup S$ for some variable x

**FailDepVar**  $\leq$   $(E; B; \beta; S; DV) \Rightarrow_{SIMP} (E; B; \beta; S; \bot)$ if  $(x \leq c) \in S$ , *x* dependent, LRA $(\beta) \not\models x \leq c$  and there is no independent variable *y* and equation  $(x \approx ay + t) \in E$  where  $(a < 0 \text{ and } \beta(y) < c' \text{ for all } (y \leq c') \in S) \text{ or } (a > 0 \text{ and } \beta(y) > c'$ for all  $(y \geq c') \in S$ )

**FailDepVar**  $(E; B; \beta; S; DV) \Rightarrow_{SIMP} (E; B; \beta; S; \bot)$ if  $(x \ge c) \in S$ , *x* dependent,  $\beta \not\models_{LA} x \ge c$  and there is no independent variable *y* and equation  $(x \approx ay + t) \in E$  where (if a > 0 and  $\beta(y) < c'$  for all  $(y \le c') \in S$ ) or (if a < 0 and  $\beta(y) > c'$ for all  $(y \ge c') \in S$ )

