



Conflict Driven Clause Learning (CDCL)

The CDCL calculus tests satisfiability of a finite set N of propositional clauses.

I assume that $\perp \notin N$ and that the clauses in N do not contain duplicate literal occurrences. Furthermore, duplicate literal occurrences are always silently removed during rule applications of the calculus. (Exhaustive Condensation.)





The CDCL calculus explicitly builds a candidate model for a clause set. If such a sequence of literals L_1, \dots, L_n satisfies the clause set N , it is done. If not, there is a false clause $C \in N$ with respect to L_1, \dots, L_n .

Now instead of just backtracking through the literals L_1, \dots, L_n , CDCL generates in addition a new clause, called *learned clause* via resolution, that actually guarantees that the subsequence of L_1, \dots, L_n that caused C to be false will not be generated anymore.

This causes CDCL to be exponentially more powerful in proof length than its predecessor DPLL or Tableau.





CDCL State

A CDCL problem state is a five-tuple $(M; N; U; k; D)$ where M a sequence of annotated literals, called a *trail*, N and U are sets of clauses, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and D is a non-empty clause or \top or \perp , called the *mode* of the state.

The set N is initialized by the problem clauses where the set U contains all newly learned clauses that are consequences of clauses from N derived by resolution.





Modes of CDCL States

- $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$ is the start state for some clause set N
- $(M; N; U; k; \top)$ is a final state, if $M \models N$ and all literals from N are defined in M
- $(M; N; U; k; \perp)$ is a final state, where N has no model
- $(M; N; U; k; \top)$ is an intermediate model search state if $M \not\models N$
- $(M; N; U; k; D)$ is a backtracking state if $D \notin \{\top, \perp\}$



The Role of Levels

Literals in $L \in M$ are either annotated with a number, a level, i.e., they have the form L^k meaning that L is the k^{th} guessed decision literal, or they are annotated with a clause that forced the literal to become true.

A literal L is of *level* k with respect to a problem state $(M; N; U; j; C)$ if L or $\text{comp}(L)$ occurs in M and the first decision literal left from L ($\text{comp}(L)$) in M is annotated with k . If there is no such decision literal then $k = 0$.

A clause D is of *level* k with respect to a problem state $(M; N; U; j; C)$ if k is the maximal level of a literal in D .



CDCL Rules

Propagate $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (ML^{C \vee L}; N; U; k; \top)$
provided $C \vee L \in (N \cup U)$, $M \models \neg C$, and L is undefined in M

Decide $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (ML^{k+1}; N; U; k + 1; \top)$
provided L is undefined in M

Conflict $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; D)$
provided $D \in (N \cup U)$ and $M \models \neg D$

Skip $(ML^{C\vee L}; N; U; k; D) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; D)$
 provided $D \notin \{\top, \perp\}$ and $\text{comp}(L)$ does not occur in D

Resolve $(ML^{C\vee L}; N; U; k; D \vee \text{comp}(L)) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; D \vee C)$
 provided D is of level k

Backtrack $(M_1 K^{i+1} M_2; N; U; k; D \vee L) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M_1 L^{D\vee L}; N; U \cup \{D \vee L\}; i; \top)$
 provided L is of level k and D is of level i .

Restart $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (\epsilon; N; U; 0; \top)$
 provided $M \not\models N$

Forget $(M; N; U \uplus \{C\}; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; \top)$
 provided $M \not\models N$

2.9.5 Definition (Reasonable CDCL Strategy)

A CDCL strategy is *reasonable* if the rules Conflict and Propagate are always preferred over all other rules.

2.9.6 Proposition (CDCL Basic Properties)

Consider CDCL run deriving $(M; N; U; k; C)$ by any strategy but without Restart and Forget. Then the following properties hold:

1. M is consistent.
2. All learned clauses are entailed by N .
3. If $C \notin \{\top, \perp\}$ then $M \models \neg C$.
4. If $C = \top$ and M contains only propagated literals then for each valuation \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{A} \models N$ it holds that $\mathcal{A} \models M$.
5. If $C = \top$, M contains only propagated literals and $M \models \neg D$ for some $D \in (N \cup U)$ then N is unsatisfiable.
6. If $C = \perp$ then CDCL terminates and N is unsatisfiable.
7. k is the maximal level of a literal in M .
8. Each infinite derivation contains an infinite number of Backtrack applications.



2.9.7 Lemma (CDCL Redundancy)

Consider a CDCL derivation by a reasonable strategy. Then CDCL never learns a clause contained in $N \cup U$.





2.9.9 Lemma (CDCL Soundness)

In a reasonable CDCL derivation, CDCL can only terminate in two different types of final states: $(M; N; U; k; \top)$ where $M \models N$ and $(M; N; U; k; \perp)$ where N is unsatisfiable.





2.9.10 Proposition (CDCL Soundness)

The rules of the CDCL algorithm are sound: (i) if CDCL terminates from $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$ in the state $(M; N; U; k; \top)$, then N is satisfiable, (ii) if CDCL terminates from $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$ in the state $(M; N; U; k; \perp)$, then N is unsatisfiable.