Conflict Driven Clause Learning (CDCL)

The CDCL calculus tests satisfiability of a finite set N of propositional clauses.

I assume that $\bot \not\in N$ and that the clauses in N do not contain duplicate literal occurrences. Furthermore, duplicate literal occurrences are always silently removed during rule applications of the calculus. (Exhaustive Condensation.)



respect to L_1, \ldots, L_n .

Now instead of just backtracking through the literals L_1, \ldots, L_n , CDCL generates in addition a new clause, called *learned clause* via resolution, that actually guarantees that the subsequence of L_1, \ldots, L_n that caused C to be false will not be generated anymore.

This causes CDCL to be exponentially more powerful in proof length than its predecessor DPLL or Tableau.



CDCL State

A CDCL problem state is a five-tuple (M; N; U; k; D) where

M a sequence of annotated literals, called a trail,

N and U are sets of clauses,

 $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and

D is a non-empty clause or \top or \bot , called the *mode* of the state.

The set N is initialized by the problem clauses where the set U contains all newly learned clauses that are consequences of clauses from N derived by resolution.



Modes of CDCL States

```
(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top) is the start state for some clause set N (M; N; U; k; \top) is a final state, if M \models N and all literals from N are defined in M is a final state, where N has no model (M; N; U; k; \top) is an intermediate model search state if M \not\models N (M; N; U; k; D) is a backtracking state if D \not\in \{\top, \bot\}
```



Literals in $L \in M$ are either annotated with a number, a level, i.e., they have the form L^k meaning that L is the k^{th} guessed decision literal, or they are annotated with a clause that forced the literal to become true.

A literal L is of level k with respect to a problem state (M; N; U; j; C) if L or comp(L) occurs in M and L itself or the first decision literal left from L (comp(L)) in M is annotated with k. If there is no such decision literal then k = 0.

A clause D is of *level* k with respect to a problem state (M; N; U; j; C) if k is the maximal level of a literal in D.



CDCL Rules

Propagate $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (ML^{C \lor L}; N; U; k; \top)$ provided $C \lor L \in (N \cup U), M \models \neg C$, and L is undefined in M

Decide $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (ML^{k+1}; N; U; k+1; \top)$ provided L is undefined in M

Conflict $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; D)$ provided $D \in (N \cup U)$ and $M \models \neg D$



Skip $(ML^{C\vee L}; N; U; k; D) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; D)$ provided $D \notin \{\top, \bot\}$ and comp(L) does not occur in D

Resolve $(ML^{C\vee L}; N; U; k; D \vee comp(L)) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; D \vee C)$ provided D is of level k

Backtrack $(M_1K^{i+1}M_2; N; U; k; D \lor L) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M_1L^{D\lor L}; N; U \cup \{D\lor L\}; i; \top)$ provided L is of level k and D is of level i.

Restart $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (\epsilon; N; U; 0; \top)$ provided $M \not\models N$

Forget $(M; N; U \uplus \{C\}; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; \top)$ provided $M \not\models N$





2.9.5 Definition (Reasonable CDCL Strategy)

A CDCL strategy is *reasonable* if the rules Conflict and Propagate are always preferred over all other rules.



2.9.6 Proposition (CDCL Basic Properties)

Consider CDCL run deriving (M; N; U; k; C) by any strategy but without Restart and Forget. Then the following properties hold:

- 1. *M* is consistent.
- 2. All learned clauses are entailed by N.
- 3. If $C \notin \{\top, \bot\}$ then $M \models \neg C$.
- 4. If $C = \top$ and M contains only propagated literals then for each valuation A with $A \models N$ it holds that $A \models M$.
- 5. If $C = \top$, M contains only propagated literals and $M \models \neg D$ for some $D \in (N \cup U)$ then N is unsatisfiable.
- 6. If $C = \bot$ then CDCL terminates and N is unsatisfiable.
- 7. k is the maximal level of a literal in M.
- 8. Each infinite derivation contains an infinite number of Backtrack applications.





2.9.7 Lemma (CDCL Redundancy)

Consider a CDCL derivation by a reasonable strategy. Then CDCL never learns a clause contained in $N \cup U$.



2.9.9 Lemma (CDCL Soundness)

In a reasonable CDCL derivation, CDCL can only terminate in two different types of final states: $(M; N; U; k; \top)$ where $M \models N$ and $(M; N; U; k; \bot)$ where N is unsatisfiable.



The rules of the CDCL algorithm are sound: (i) if CDCL terminates from $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$ in the state $(M; N; U; k; \top)$, then N is satisfiable, (ii) if CDCL terminates from $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$ in the state $(M; N; U; k; \bot)$, then N is unsatisfiable.

