



Propositional Superposition

Propositional Superposition refines the propositional resolution calculus by

- (i) ordering and selection restrictions on inferences,
- (ii) an abstract redundancy notion,
- (iii) the notion of a partial model, based on the ordering for inference guidance
- (iv) a *saturation* concept.

Important: No implicit Condensation of literals!



2.7.1 Definition (Clause Ordering)

Let \prec be a total strict ordering on Σ .

Then \prec can be lifted to a total ordering on literals by $\prec \subseteq \prec_L$ and $P \prec_L \neg P$ and $\neg P \prec_L Q, \neg P \prec_L \neg Q$ for all $P \prec Q$.

The ordering \prec_L can be lifted to a total ordering on clauses \prec_C by considering the multiset extension of \prec_L for clauses.

2.7.2 Proposition (Properties of the Clause Ordering)

- (i) The orderings on literals and clauses are total and well-founded.
- (ii) Let C and D be clauses with $P = \text{atom}(\max(C))$, $Q = \text{atom}(\max(D))$, where $\max(C)$ denotes the maximal literal in C .
- (i) If $Q \prec_L P$ then $D \prec_C C$.
 - (ii) If $P = Q$, P occurs negatively in C but only positively in D , then $D \prec_C C$.

Eventually, I overload \prec with \prec_L and \prec_C .

For a clause set N , I define $N^{\prec C} = \{D \in N \mid D \prec C\}$.



Definition (Abstract Redundancy)

A clause C is *redundant* with respect to a clause set N if $N \setminus C \models C$.

2.7.6 Definition (Partial Model Construction)

Given a clause set N and an ordering \prec we can construct a (partial) Herbrand model $N_{\mathcal{I}}$ for N inductively as follows:

$$N_C := \bigcup_{D \prec C} \delta_D$$

$$\delta_D := \begin{cases} \{P\} & \text{if } D = D' \vee P, P \text{ strictly maximal, no literal} \\ & \text{selected in } D \text{ and } N_D \not\models D \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$N_{\mathcal{I}} := \bigcup_{C \in N} \delta_C$$

Clauses C with $\delta_C \neq \emptyset$ are called *productive*.



2.7.7 Proposition (Model Construction Properties)

Some properties of the partial model construction.

- (i) For every D with $(C \vee \neg P) \prec D$ we have $\delta_D \neq \{P\}$.
- (ii) If $\delta_C = \{P\}$ then $N_C \cup \delta_C \models C$.
- (iii) If $N_C \models D$ and $D \prec C$ then for all C' with $C \prec C'$ we have $N_{C'} \models D$ and in particular $N_{\mathcal{I}} \models D$.
- (iv) There is no clause C with $P \vee P \prec C$ such that $\delta_C = \{P\}$.

Superposition Inference Rules

Superposition Left $(N \uplus \{C_1 \vee P, C_2 \vee \neg P\}) \Rightarrow_{\text{SUP}}$
 $(N \cup \{C_1 \vee P, C_2 \vee \neg P\} \cup \{C_1 \vee C_2\})$

where (i) P is strictly maximal in $C_1 \vee P$ (ii) no literal in $C_1 \vee P$ is selected (iii) $\neg P$ is maximal and no literal selected in $C_2 \vee \neg P$, or $\neg P$ is selected in $C_2 \vee \neg P$

Factoring $(N \uplus \{C \vee P \vee P\}) \Rightarrow_{\text{SUP}}$
 $(N \cup \{C \vee P \vee P\} \cup \{C \vee P\})$

where (i) P is maximal in $C \vee P \vee P$ (ii) no literal is selected in $C \vee P \vee P$



2.7.8 Definition (Saturation)

A set N of clauses is called *saturated up to redundancy*, if any inference from non-redundant clauses in N yields a redundant clause with respect to N or is already contained in N .

