## 2.6.6 Theorem (Resolution Termination)

If reduction rules are preferred over inference rules and no inference rule is applied twice to the same clause(s), then  $\Rightarrow_{\sf RES}^+$  is well-founded.



# The Overall Picture

Application

System + Problem

System

Algorithm + Implementation

Algorithm

Calculus + Strategy

Calculus

 $\label{eq:logic} \text{Logic} + \text{States} + \text{Rules}$ 

Logic

Syntax+Semantics



# Conflict Driven Clause Learning (CDCL)

The CDCL calculus tests satisfiability of a finite set *N* of propositional clauses.

I assume that  $\perp \notin N$  and that the clauses in N do not contain duplicate literal occurrences. Furthermore, duplicate literal occurrences are always silently removed during rule applications of the calculus. (Exhaustive Condensation.)



The CDCL calculus explicitely builds a candidate model for a clause set. If such a sequence of literals  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  satisfies the clause set N, it is done. If not, there is a false clause  $C \in N$  with respect to  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ .

Now instead of just backtracking through the literals  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ , CDCL generates in addition a new clause, called *learned clause* via resolution, that actually guarantees that the subsequence of  $L_1, \ldots, L_n$  that caused *C* to be false will not be generated anymore.

This causes CDCL to be exponentially more powerful in proof length than its predecessor DPLL or Tableau.



# CDCL State

- A CDCL problem state is a five-tuple (M; N; U; k; D) where
- M a sequence of annotated literals, called a trail,
- N and U are sets of clauses,
- $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- *D* is a non-empty clause or  $\top$  or  $\bot$ , called the *mode* of the state.

The set N is initialized by the problem clauses where the set U contains all newly learned clauses that are consequences of clauses from N derived by resolution.



# Modes of CDCL States

( )	is the start state for some clause set $N$
( <i>M</i> ; <i>N</i> ; <i>U</i> ; <i>k</i> ; ⊤)	is a final state, if $M \models N$ and all literals from N
	are defined in M
( <i>M</i> ; <i>N</i> ; <i>U</i> ; <i>k</i> ; ⊥)	is a final state, where <i>N</i> has no model
( <i>M</i> ; <i>N</i> ; <i>U</i> ; <i>k</i> ; ⊤)	is an intermediate model search state if $M \not\models N$
(M; N; U; k; D)	is a backtracking state if $D \notin \{\top, \bot\}$



# The Role of Levels

Literals in  $L \in M$  are either annotated with a number, a level, i.e., they have the form  $L^k$  meaning that L is the  $k^{th}$  guessed decision literal, or they are annotated with a clause that forced the literal to become true.

A literal *L* is of *level k* with respect to a problem state (M; N; U; j; C) if *L* or comp(*L*) occurs in *M* and *L* itself or the first decision literal left from *L* (comp(*L*)) in *M* is annotated with *k*. If there is no such decision literal then k = 0.

A clause *D* is of *level k* with respect to a problem state (M; N; U; j; C) if *k* is the maximal level of a literal in *D*.



# **CDCL** Rules

**Propagate**  $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (ML^{C \lor L}; N; U; k; \top)$ provided  $C \lor L \in (N \cup U), M \models \neg C$ , and *L* is undefined in *M* 

**Decide**  $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (ML^{k+1}; N; U; k+1; \top)$ provided *L* is undefined in *M* 

**Conflict**  $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; D)$ provided  $D \in (N \cup U)$  and  $M \models \neg D$ 



**Skip**  $(ML^{C \lor L}; N; U; k; D) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; D)$ provided  $D \notin \{\top, \bot\}$  and comp(L) does not occur in D

**Resolve**  $(ML^{C \lor L}; N; U; k; D \lor comp(L)) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; D \lor C)$ 

provided D is of level k

**Backtrack**  $(M_1 K^{i+1} M_2; N; U; k; D \lor L) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M_1 L^{D \lor L}; N; U \cup \{D \lor L\}; i; \top)$ 

provided L is of level k and D is of level i.

**Restart**  $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (\epsilon; N; U; 0; \top)$ provided  $M \not\models N$ 

**Forget**  $(M; N; U \uplus \{C\}; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{CDCL} (M; N; U; k; \top)$ provided  $M \not\models N$ 



November 5, 2020

## 2.9.5 Definition (Reasonable CDCL Strategy)

A CDCL strategy is *reasonable* if the rules Conflict and Propagate are always preferred over all other rules.



#### 2.9.6 Proposition (CDCL Basic Properties)

Consider CDCL run deriving (M; N; U; k; C) by any strategy but without Restart and Forget. Then the following properties hold:

- 1. *M* is consistent.
- 2. All learned clauses are entailed by N.
- 3. If  $C \notin \{\top, \bot\}$  then  $M \models \neg C$ .
- 4. If  $C = \top$  and M contains only propagated literals then for each valuation A with  $A \models N$  it holds that  $A \models M$ .
- 5. If  $C = \top$ , *M* contains only propagated literals and  $M \models \neg D$  for some  $D \in (N \cup U)$  then *N* is unsatisfiable.
- 6. If  $C = \bot$  then CDCL terminates and *N* is unsatisfiable.
- 7. *k* is the maximal level of a literal in *M*.
- 8. Each infinite derivation contains an infinite number of Backtrack applications.

## 2.9.7 Lemma (CDCL Redundancy)

Consider a CDCL derivation by a reasonable strategy. Then CDCL never learns a clause contained in  $N \cup U$ .



## 2.9.10 Lemma (CDCL Soundness)

In a reasonable CDCL derivation, CDCL can only terminate in two different types of final states:  $(M; N; U; k; \top)$  where  $M \models N$  and  $(M; N; U; k; \bot)$  where N is unsatisfiable.



### 2.9.11 Proposition (CDCL Soundness)

The rules of the CDCL algorithm are sound: (i) if CDCL terminates from  $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$  in the state  $(M; N; U; k; \top)$ , then N is satisfiable, (ii) if CDCL terminates from  $(\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top)$  in the state  $(M; N; U; k; \bot)$ , then N is unsatisfiable.



## 2.9.12 Proposition (CDCL Strong Completeness)

The CDCL rule set is complete: for any valuation M with  $M \models N$  there is a reasonable sequence of rule applications generating  $(M'; N; U; k; \top)$  as a final state, where M and M' only differ in the order of literals.



## 2.9.13 Proposition (CDCL Termination)

Assume the algorithm CDCL with all rules except Restart and Forget is applied using a reasonable strategy. Then it terminates in a state (M; N; U; k; D) with  $D \in \{\top, \bot\}$ .



# The Overall Picture

Application

System + Problem

System

Algorithm + Implementation

Algorithm

Calculus + Strategy

Calculus

 $\label{eq:logic} \text{Logic} + \text{States} + \text{Rules}$ 

Logic

Syntax+Semantics



```
1 Algorithm: 5 CDCL(S)
   Input : An initial state (\epsilon; N; \emptyset; 0; \top).
   Output: A final state S = (M; N; U; k; \top) or S = (M; N; U; k; \bot)
 2 while (any rule applicable) do
       ifrule (Conflict(S)) then
 3
           while (Skip(S) \parallel Resolve(S)) do
 4
              update VSIDS on resolved literals;
 5
           update VSIDS on learned clause, Backtrack(S);
 6
           if (forget heuristic) then
 7
              Forget(S), Restart(S);
 8
          else
 9
              if (restart heuristic) then
10
                  Restart(S);
11
       else
12
           ifrule (! \operatorname{Propagate}(S)) then
13
              Decide(S) literal with max. VSIDS score:
14
   return S)
15
```

71/91