Orderings

Propositional superposition is based on an ordering on the propositional variables, Section 2.7. The ordering is total and well-founded. Basically, propositional variables correspond to ground atoms in first-order logic.

This section generalizes the ideas of the propositional superposition ordering to first-order logic. In first-order logic the ordering has to also consider terms and variables and operations on terms like the application of a substitution. See the first-order resolution calculus.

I first define the ordering on terms and then explain how it is extended to atoms.



3.11.1 Definition (Σ -Operation Compatible Relation)

A binary relation \Box over $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ is called *compatible with* Σ -operations, if $s \Box s'$ implies $f(t_1, \ldots, s, \ldots, t_n) \Box f(t_1, \ldots, s', \ldots, t_n)$ for all $f \in \Omega$ and $s, s', t_i \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$.

3.11.2 Lemma (Σ -Operation Compatible Relation)

A relation \Box is compatible with Σ -operations iff $s \Box s'$ implies $t[s]_p \Box t[s']_p$ for all $s, s', t \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ and $p \in pos(t)$.



3.11.3 Definition (Substitution Stable Relation, Rewrite Relation)

A binary relation \Box over $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ is called *stable under* substitutions, if $s \Box s'$ implies $s\sigma \Box s'\sigma$ for all $s, s' \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ and substitutions σ .

A binary relation \Box is called a *rewrite relation*, if it is compatible with Σ -operations and stable under substitutions. A *rewrite ordering* is then an ordering that is a rewrite relation.



3.11.4 Definition (Subterm Ordering)

The proper subterm ordering s > t is defined by s > t iff $s|_p = t$ for some position $p \neq \epsilon$ of s.

3.11.5 Definition (Simplification Ordering)

A rewrite ordering \succ over $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ is called *simplification* ordering, if it enjoys the subterm property $s \succ t$ implies s > t for all $s, t \in T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ of the same sort.



3.11.6 Definition (Lexicographical Path Ordering (LPO))

Let $\Sigma = (S, \Omega, \Pi)$ be a signature and let \succ be a strict partial ordering on operator symbols in Ω , called *precedence*. The *lexicographical path ordering* \succ_{lpo} on $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ is defined as follows: if *s*, *t* are terms in $T_S(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ then $s \succ_{lpo} t$ iff

1.
$$t = x \in \mathcal{X}, x \in vars(s) \text{ and } s \neq t \text{ or}$$

2. $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n), t = g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \text{ and}$
2.1 $s_i \succeq_{lpo} t \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

2.2
$$f \succ g$$
 and $s \succ_{lpo} t_j$ for every
 $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ or
2.3 $f = g$, $s \succ_{lpo} t_j$ for every $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$
and $(s_1, ..., s_n)(\succ_{lpo})_{lex}(t_1, ..., t_m)$.



or

3.11.7 Theorem (LPO Properties)

- 1. The LPO is a rewrite ordering.
- 2. LPO enjoys the subterm property, hence is a simplification ordering.
- If the precedence ≻ is total on Ω then ≻_{lpo} is total on the set of ground terms T(Σ).
- 4. If Ω is finite then \succ_{lpo} is well-founded.



3.11.9 Definition (The Knuth-Bendix Ordering)

Let $\Sigma = (S, \Omega, \Pi)$ be a finite signature, let \succ be a strict partial ordering ("precedence") on Ω , let $w : \Omega \cup \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a *weight function*, so that the following condition is satisfied: $w(x) = w_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all variables $x \in \mathcal{X}$; $w(c) \ge w_0$ for all constants $c \in \Omega$.

Then, the weight function *w* can be extended to terms recursively:

$$w(f(t_1,\ldots,t_n))=w(f)+\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}w(t_i)$$



3.11.9 Definition (The Knuth-Bendix Ordering Ctd.)

or alternatively

$$\sum w(t) = \sum_{x \in vars(t)} w(x) \cdot \#(x,t) + \sum_{f \in \Omega} w(f) \cdot \#(f,t)$$

where #(a, t) is the number of occurrences of a in t. The *Knuth-Bendix ordering* \succ_{kbo} on $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{X})$ induced by \succ and admissible w is defined by: $s \succ_{kbo} t$ iff

1. $\#(x,s) \ge \#(x,t)$ for all variables x and w(s) > w(t), or

2. $\#(x,s) \ge \#(x,t)$ for all variables x, w(s) = w(t), and

2a.
$$s = f(s_1, ..., s_m), t = g(t_1, ..., t_n)$$
, and $f \succ g$, or
2b. $s = f(s_1, ..., s_m), t = f(t_1, ..., t_m)$, and
 $(s_1, ..., s_m)(\succ_{kbo})_{lex}(t_1, ..., t_m)$.



3.11.10 Theorem (KBO Properties)

- 1. The KBO is a rewrite ordering.
- 2. KBO enjoys the subterm property, hence is a simplification ordering.
- If the precedence ⊢ is total on Ω then ⊢_{kbo} is total on the set of ground terms T(Σ).
- 4. If Ω is finite then \succ_{kbo} is well-founded.



The LPO ordering as well as the KBO ordering can be extended to atoms in a straightforward way. The precedence \succ is extended to Π . For LPO atoms are then compared according to Definition 3.11.6-2. For KBO the weight function *w* is also extended to atoms by giving predicates a non-zero positive weight and then atoms are compared according to terms.

Actually, since atoms are never substituted for variables in first-order logic, an alternative to the above would be to first compare the predicate symbols and let \succ decide the ordering. Only if the atoms share the same predicate symbol, the argument terms are considered, e.g., in a lexicographic way and are then compared with respect to KBO or LPO, respectively.



First-Order Ground Superposition

Propositional clauses and ground clauses are essentially the same, as long as equational atoms are not considered. This section deals only with ground clauses and recalls mostly the material from Section 2.7 for first-order ground clauses. The main difference is that the atom ordering is more complicated, see Section 3.11.

From now on let *N* be a possibly infinite set of ground clauses.



3.12.1 Definition (Ground Clause Ordering)

Let \prec be a strict rewrite ordering total on ground terms and ground atoms. Then \prec can be lifted to a total ordering \prec_L on literals by its multiset extension \prec_{mul} where a positive literal $P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is mapped to the multiset $\{P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)\}$ and a negative literal $\neg P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ to the multiset $\{P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)\}$ and a $\{P(t_1, \ldots, t_n), P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)\}$.

The ordering \prec_L is further lifted to a total ordering on clauses \prec_C by considering the multiset extension of \prec_L for clauses.



3.12.2 Proposition (Properties of the Ground Clause Ordering)

- 1. The orderings on literals and clauses are total and well-founded.
- 2. Let *C* and *D* be clauses with $P(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \operatorname{atom}(\max(C))$, $Q(s_1, \ldots, s_m) = \operatorname{atom}(\max(D))$, where $\max(C)$ denotes the maximal literal in *C*.



Eventually, as I did for propositional logic, I overload \prec with \prec_L and \prec_C . So if \prec is applied to literals it denotes \prec_L , if it is applied to clauses, it denotes \prec_C .

Note that \prec is a total ordering on literals and clauses as well. For superposition, inferences are restricted to maximal literals with respect to \prec .

For a clause set *N*, I define $N^{\prec C} = \{D \in N \mid D \prec C\}$.

