First-Order Logic with Equality

In this Chapter I combine the ideas of Superposition for first-order logic without equality, Section 3.13, and Knuth-Bendix Completion, Section 4.4, to get a calculus for equational clauses.

Recall that predicative literals can be translated into equations

$$\begin{array}{lll} P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) & \Rightarrow & f_P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \approx \text{true} \\ \neg P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) & \Rightarrow & f_P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \not\approx \text{true} \end{array}$$



Some Motivation

The running example for this chapter is the theory of arrays T_{Array} , see also Section 7.3, which consists of the following three axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x_A, y_I, z_V. \operatorname{read}(\operatorname{store}(x, y, z), y) \approx z \\ &\forall x_A, y_I, y'_I, z_V. (y \not\approx y' \rightarrow \operatorname{read}(\operatorname{store}(x, y, z), y') \approx \operatorname{read}(x, y')) \\ &\forall x_A, x'_A. \exists y_I. (\operatorname{read}(x, y) \not\approx \operatorname{read}(x', y) \lor x \approx x'). \end{aligned}$$

The goal is to decide for an additional set of ground clauses N over the above signature plus further constants of the three different sorts, whether $\mathcal{T}_{Array} \cup N$ is satisfiable.



The ground Case

The ground inference rules corresponding to Knuth-Bendix critical pair computation generalized to clauses and Superposition Left on first-order logic without equality modulo a reduction ordering \succ that is total on ground terms. Then the construction of Definition 3.12.1 is lifted to equational clauses.

The multiset {*s*, *t*} is assigned to a positive literal $s \approx t$, the multiset {*s*, *s*, *t*, *t*} is assigned to a negative literal $s \not\approx t$. The *literal ordering* \succ_L compares these multisets using the multiset extension of \succ . The *clause ordering* \succ_C compares clauses by comparing their multisets of literals using the multiset extension of \succ_L . Eventually \succ is used for all three orderings depending on the context.



Superposition Left

 $\begin{array}{l} (N \uplus \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[t] \not\approx s'\}) \Rightarrow \\ (N \cup \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[t] \not\approx s'\} \cup \{D \lor C \lor s[t'] \not\approx s'\}) \end{array}$

where $t \approx t'$ is strictly maximal and $s \not\approx s'$ are maximal in their respective clauses, $t \succ t'$, $s \succ s'$

Superposition Right

 $(N \uplus \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[t] \approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$ $(N \cup \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[t] \approx s'\} \cup \{D \lor C \lor s[t'] \approx s'\})$ where $t \approx t'$ and $s \approx s'$ are strictly maximal in their respective clauses, $t \succ t', s \succ s'$



Equality Resolution $(N \uplus \{C \lor s \not\approx s\}) \Rightarrow$ $(N \cup \{C \lor s \not\approx s\} \cup \{C\})$

where $s \not\approx s$ is maximal in the clause

Factoring is more complicated due to more complicated partial models. Classical Herbrand interpretation not sufficient because of equality.

The solution is to define a set *E* of ground equations and take $T(\Sigma, \emptyset)/E = T(\Sigma, \emptyset)/\approx_E$ as the universe. Then two ground terms *s* and *t* are equal in the interpretation if and only if $s \approx_E t$. If *E* is a terminating and confluent rewrite system *R*, then two ground terms *s* and *t* are equal in the interpretation, if and only if $s \downarrow_R t$.



Now the problem with the standard factoring rule is that in the completeness proof for the superposition calculus without equality, the following property holds: if $C = C' \lor A$ with a strictly maximal atom A is false in the current interpretation N_C with respect to some clause set, see Definition 3.12.5, then adding A to the current interpretation cannot make any literal in C' true.

This does not hold anymore in the presence of equality. Let $b \succ c \succ d$. Assume that the current rewrite system (representing the current interpretation) contains the rule $c \rightarrow d$. Now consider the clause $b \approx c \lor b \approx d$.



Equality Factoring $(N \uplus \{C \lor s \approx t' \lor s \approx t\}) \Rightarrow$ $(N \cup \{C \lor s \approx t' \lor s \approx t\} \cup \{C \lor t \not\approx t' \lor s \approx t'\})$ where $s \succ t', s \succ t$ and $s \approx t$ is maximal in the clause



The lifting from the ground case to the first-order case with variables is then identical to the case of superposition without equality: identity is replaced by unifiability, the mgu is applied to the resulting clause, and \succ is replaced by \preceq .

An addition, as in Knuth-Bendix completion, overlaps at or below a variable position are not considered. The consequence is that there are inferences between ground instances $D\sigma$ and $C\sigma$ of clauses *D* and *C* which are not ground instances of inferences between *D* and *C*. Such inferences have to be treated in a special way in the completeness proof and will be shown to be obsolete.



Superposition Right

 $(N \uplus \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[u] \approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$ $(N \cup \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[u] \approx s'\} \cup \{(D \lor C \lor s[t'] \approx s')\sigma\})$

where σ is the mgu of t, u, u is not a variable $t\sigma \not\preceq t'\sigma, s\sigma \not\preceq s'\sigma$, $(t \approx t')\sigma$ strictly maximal in $(D \lor t \approx t')\sigma$, nothing selected and $(s \approx s')\sigma$ strictly maximal in $(C \lor s \approx s')\sigma$ and nothing selected

Superposition Left

 $\begin{array}{l} (N \uplus \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[u] \not\approx s'\}) \Rightarrow \\ (N \cup \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[u] \not\approx s'\} \cup \{(D \lor C \lor s[t'] \not\approx s')\sigma\}) \\ \text{where } \sigma \text{ is the mgu of } t, u, u \text{ is not a variable } t\sigma \not\preceq t'\sigma, s\sigma \not\preceq s'\sigma, \\ (t \approx t')\sigma \text{ strictly maximal in } (D \lor t \approx t')\sigma, \text{ nothing selected and} \\ (s \not\approx s')\sigma \text{ maximal in } (C \lor s \not\approx s')\sigma \text{ or selected} \end{array}$



Equality Resolution $(N \uplus \{C \lor s \not\approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$ $(N \cup \{C \lor s \not\approx s'\} \cup \{C\sigma\})$

where σ is the mgu of $s, s', (s \not\approx s')\sigma$ maximal in $(C \lor s \not\approx s')\sigma$ or selected

Equality Factoring $(N \uplus \{C \lor s' \approx t' \lor s \approx t\}) \Rightarrow$ $(N \cup \{C \lor s' \approx t' \lor s \approx t\} \cup \{(C \lor t \not\approx t' \lor s \approx t')\sigma\})$ where σ is the mgu of $s, s', s'\sigma \not\preceq t'\sigma, s\sigma \not\preceq t\sigma, (s \approx t)\sigma$ maximal in $(C \lor s' \approx t' \lor s \approx t)\sigma$ and nothing selected



5.2.1 Theorem (Superposition Soundness)

All inference rules of the superposition calculus are *sound*, i.e., for every rule $N \uplus \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \Rightarrow N \cup \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \cup \{D\}$ it holds that $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \models D$.

5.2.2 Definition (Abstract Redundancy)

A clause *C* is *redundant* with respect to a clause set *N* if for all ground instances $C\sigma$ there are clauses $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \subseteq N$ with ground instances $C_1\tau_1, \ldots, C_n\tau_n$ such that $C_i\tau_i \prec C\sigma$ for all *i* and $C_1\tau_1, \ldots, C_n\tau_n \models C\sigma$. Given a set *N* of clauses red(*N*) is the set of clauses redundant with respect to *N*.



The concrete redundancy notions from Section 3.13, namely Subsumption, Tautology Deletion, Condensation, and Subsumption Resolution all apply to the superposition calculus for first-order logic with equality as well. In addition, rewriting is the most important redundancy criterion in case of equality.

Unit Rewriting $(N \uplus \{C \lor L, t \approx s\}) \Rightarrow_{\text{SUPE}} (N \cup \{C \lor L[s\sigma]_{\rho}, t \approx s\})$ provided $L|_{\rho} = t\sigma$ and $t\sigma \succ s\sigma$

5.2.3 Definition (Saturation)

A clause set *N* is *saturated up to redundancy* if for every derivation $N \setminus \operatorname{red}(N) \Rightarrow_{\mathsf{SUPE}} N \cup \{C\}$ it holds $C \in (N \cup \operatorname{red}(N))$.



5.2.4 Definition (Partial Model Construction)

Given a clause set *N* and an ordering \succ a (partial) model $N_{\mathcal{I}}$ can be constructed inductively over all ground clause instances of *N* as follows:

$$N_C := \bigcup_{D\prec C}^{D\in \operatorname{grd}(\Sigma,N)} E_D$$

$$N_{\mathcal{I}} := \bigcup_{C \in \operatorname{grd}(\Sigma,N)} N_C$$

where N_D , N_I , E_D are also considered as rewrite systems with respect to \succ . If $E_D \neq \emptyset$ then *D* is called *productive*.



$$E_D := \begin{cases} \{s \approx t\} & \text{if } D = D' \lor s \approx t, \\ (i) \ s \approx t \text{ is strictly maximal in } D \\ (ii) \ s \succ t \\ (iii) \ D \text{ is false in } N_D \\ (iv) \ D' \text{ is false in } N_D \cup \{s \to t\} \\ (v) \ s \text{ is irreducible by } N_D \\ (vi) \text{ no negative literal is selected in } D' \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

