# First-Order Logic with Equality

In this Chapter I combine the ideas of Superposition for first-order logic without equality, Section 3.13, and Knuth-Bendix Completion, Section 4.4, to get a calculus for equational clauses.

Recall that predicative literals can be translated into equations

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) & \Rightarrow & f_P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \approx \mathsf{true} \\ \neg P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) & \Rightarrow & f_P(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \not\approx \mathsf{true} \end{array}
$$



## Some Motivation

The running example for this chapter is the theory of arrays  $\mathcal{T}_{Array}$ , see also Section 7.3, which consists of the following three axioms:

$$
\forall x_A, y_I, z_V.\operatorname{read}(\operatorname{store}(x,y,z),y) \approx z\\ \forall x_A, y_I, y', z_V. (y \not\approx y' \rightarrow \operatorname{read}(\operatorname{store}(x,y,z), y') \approx \operatorname{read}(x,y'))\\ \forall x_A, x'_A. \exists y_I. (\operatorname{read}(x,y) \not\approx \operatorname{read}(x',y) \lor x \approx x').
$$

The goal is to decide for an additional set of ground clauses *N* over the above signature plus further constants of the three different sorts, whether  $\mathcal{T}_{Array} \cup N$  is satisfiable.



# The ground Case

The ground inference rules corresponding to Knuth-Bendix critical pair computation generalized to clauses and Superposition Left on first-order logic wihtout equality modulo a reduction ordering  $\succ$  that is total on ground terms. Then the construction of Definition 3.12.1 is lifted to equational clauses.

The multiset  $\{s, t\}$  is assigned to a positive literal  $s \approx t$ , the multiset  $\{s, s, t, t\}$  is assigned to a negative literal  $s \not\approx t$ . The *literal ordering*  $\succ_L$  compares these multisets using the multiset extension of ≻. The *clause ordering* ≻<sub>*C*</sub> compares clauses by comparing their multisets of literals using the multiset extension of ≻*L*. Eventually ≻ is used for all three orderings depending on the context.



#### **Superposition Left**

 $(N \uplus \{D \vee t \approx t', C \vee s[t] \not\approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[t] \not\approx s'\} \cup \{D \lor C \lor s[t'] \not\approx s'\})$ 

where  $t\approx t'$  is strictly maximal and  $\bm{s}\not\approx\bm{s}'$  are maximal in their  $r$  respective clauses,  $t \succ t'$ ,  $s \succ s'$ 

#### **Superposition Right**

 $(N \uplus \{D \vee t \approx t', C \vee s[t] \approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{D \vee t \approx t', C \vee s[t] \approx s'\} \cup \{D \vee C \vee s[t'] \approx s'\})$ where  $t \approx t'$  and  $\boldsymbol{s} \approx \boldsymbol{s}'$  are strictly maximal in their respective  $clauses, t \succ t', s \succ s'$ 



## **Equality Resolution**  $(N \cup \{C \vee s \not\approx s\}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{C \vee s \not\approx s\} \cup \{C\})$

where  $s \approx s$  is maximal in the clause

Factoring is more complicated due to more complicated partial models. Classical Herbrand interpretation not sufficient because of equality.

The solution is to define a set *E* of ground equations and take  $T(\Sigma, \emptyset)/E = T(\Sigma, \emptyset)/\approx_F$  as the universe. Then two ground terms *s* and *t* are equal in the interpretation if and only if  $s \approx_F t$ . If *E* is a terminating and confluent rewrite system *R*, then two ground terms *s* and *t* are equal in the interpretation, if and only if *s* ↓*<sup>R</sup> t*.



Now the problem with the standard factoring rule is that in the completeness proof for the superposition calculus without equality, the following property holds: if  $C = C' \vee A$  with a strictly maximal atom *A* is false in the current interpretation  $N_c$  with respect to some clause set, see Definition 3.12.5, then adding *A* to the current interpretation cannot make any literal in C' true.

This does not hold anymore in the presence of equality. Let  $b \succ c \succ d$ . Assume that the current rewrite system (representing the current interpretation) contains the rule  $c \rightarrow d$ . Now consider the clause  $b \approx c \vee b \approx d$ .



#### **Equality Factoring** (*N* ⊎ {*C* ∨ *s* ≈ *t*  $(N \cup \{C \vee s \approx t' \vee s \approx t\}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{C \vee s \approx t' \vee s \approx t\} \cup \{C \vee t \not\approx t' \vee s \approx t'\})$ where  $s \succ t'$ ,  $s \succ t$  and  $s \approx t$  is maximal in the clause



The lifting from the ground case to the first-order case with variables is then identical to the case of superposition without equality: identity is replaced by unifiability, the mgu is applied to the resulting clause, and  $\succ$  is replaced by  $\nprec$ .

An addition, as in Knuth-Bendix completion, overlaps at or below a variable position are not considered. The consequence is that there are inferences between ground instances *D*σ and *C*σ of clauses *D* and *C* which are not ground instances of inferences between *D* and *C*. Such inferences have to be treated in a special way in the completeness proof and will be shown to be obsolete.



#### **Superposition Right**

 $(N \uplus \{D \vee t \approx t', C \vee s[u] \approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$  $(W \cup \{D \lor t \approx t', C \lor s[u] \approx s'\} \cup \{(D \lor C \lor s[t'] \approx s')\sigma\})$ 

where  $\sigma$  is the mgu of *t*, *u*, *u* is not a variable  $t\sigma \npreceq t'\sigma$ , s $\sigma \npreceq s'\sigma$ ,  $(t \approx t')\sigma$  strictly maximal in  $(D \vee t \approx t')\sigma$ , nothing selected and  $({\bm{s}} \approx {\bm{s}}')\sigma$  strictly maximal in  $({\bm{C}} \vee {\bm{s}} \approx {\bm{s}}')\sigma$  and nothing selected

#### **Superposition Left**

 $(N \uplus \{D \vee t \approx t', C \vee s[u] \not\approx s'\}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{D \vee t \approx t', C \vee s[u] \not\approx s'\} \cup \{(D \vee C \vee s[t'] \not\approx s')\sigma\})$ where  $\sigma$  is the mgu of *t*, *u*, *u* is not a variable  $t\sigma \npreceq t'\sigma$ ,  $s\sigma \npreceq s'\sigma$ ,  $(t \approx t')\sigma$  strictly maximal in  $(D \vee t \approx t')\sigma$ , nothing selected and  $(s \not\approx s')\sigma$  maximal in  $(C \vee s \not\approx s')\sigma$  or selected



**Equality Resolution** (*N* ⊎ {*C* ∨ *s* ̸≈ *s*  $(N \uplus \{ C \vee s \not\approx s' \}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{C \vee s \not\approx s'\} \cup \{C\sigma\})$ 

where  $\sigma$  is the mgu of  $\bm{s}, \bm{s}^\prime, (\bm{s} \not\approx \bm{s}^\prime)\sigma$  maximal in  $(\bm{C} \vee \bm{s} \not\approx \bm{s}^\prime)\sigma$  or selected

**Equality Factoring**  $\mathscr{C} \approx t' \vee s \approx t \}) \Rightarrow$  $(N \cup \{C \vee s' \approx t' \vee s \approx t\} \cup \{(C \vee t \not\approx t' \vee s \approx t')\sigma\})$ where  $\sigma$  is the mgu of  $\bm{s}, \bm{s}', \bm{s}'\sigma\not\preceq t'\sigma, \bm{s}\sigma\not\preceq t\sigma, (\bm{s}\approx t)\sigma$  maximal  $\mathsf{in}~( \overline{C}\vee \overline{s'}\approx t' \vee \overline{s}\approx t) \overline{\sigma}$  and nothing selected



## 5.2.1 Theorem (Superposition Soundness)

All inference rules of the superposition calculus are *sound*, i.e., for every rule  $N \cup \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \Rightarrow N \cup \{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \cup \{D\}$  it holds that  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \models D$ .

### 5.2.2 Definition (Abstract Redundancy)

A clause *C* is *redundant* with respect to a clause set *N* if for all ground instances  $C_{\sigma}$  there are clauses  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_n\} \subseteq N$  with ground instances  $C_1 \tau_1, \ldots, C_n \tau_n$  such that  $C_i \tau_i \prec C_{\sigma}$  for all *i* and  $C_1 \tau_1, \ldots, C_n \tau_n \models C \sigma$ . Given a set *N* of clauses red(*N*) is the set of clauses redundant with respect to *N*.



The concrete redundancy notions from Section 3.13, namely Subsumption, Tautology Deletion, Condensation, and Subsumption Resolution all apply to the superposition calculus for first-order logic with equality as well. In addition, rewriting is the most important redundancy criterion in case of equality.

**Unit Rewriting**  $(N \oplus \{C \vee L, t \approx s\}) \Rightarrow$ SUPE  $(N \cup \{C \vee L[s_{\sigma}]_{p}, t \approx s\})$ provided  $L|_p = t\sigma$  and  $t\sigma > s\sigma$ 

#### 5.2.3 Definition (Saturation)

A clause set *N* is *saturated up to redundancy* if for every derivation  $N \setminus \text{red}(N) \Rightarrow_{\text{SUPE}} N \cup \{C\}$  it holds  $C \in (N \cup \text{red}(N))$ .



### 5.2.4 Definition (Partial Model Construction)

Given a clause set N and an ordering  $\succ$  a (partial) model  $N_{\mathcal{I}}$  can be constructed inductively over all ground clause instances of *N* as follows:

$$
N_C := \bigcup_{D \prec C}^{D \in \text{grd}(\Sigma, N)} E_D
$$

$$
N_{\mathcal{I}} \;\; := \;\; \bigcup_{C \in \text{grd}(\Sigma, N)} N_C
$$

where  $N_D$ ,  $N_T$ ,  $E_D$  are also considered as rewrite systems with respect to  $\succ$ . If  $E_D \neq \emptyset$  then *D* is called *productive*.



$$
E_D := \left\{\begin{array}{c}\{s \approx t\} & \text{if } D = D' \lor s \approx t, \\ (i) & s \approx t \text{ is strictly maximal in } D \\ (ii) & s \succ t \\ (iii) & D \text{ is false in } N_D \\ (iv) & D' \text{ is false in } N_D \cup \{s \rightarrow t\} \\ (v) & s \text{ is irreducible by } N_D \\ (vi) & \text{no negative literal is selected in } D' \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise}\end{array}\right.
$$

