Motivation

1 Algorithm: WhatDolDo(*n*, *m*)

Input : Two positive integers *n*, *m*. **Output**: The number contained in *n*.

2 while (*m* > 0) do

```
3 m = m -1 ;
```

```
4 n = n + 1;
```

5 end

6 return n;



In First-Order Logic Modulo LIA

$$\forall n, m . (R(2, n, m) \rightarrow R(6, n + m, 0))$$



2-Counter Machines (Minsky 1967)

The memory of the machine are two integer counters k_1 , k_2 , where the integers are not limited in size, resulting in the name. The counters may be initialized at the beginning with arbitrary positive values.

A program consists of a finite number of programming lines, each coming with a unique and consecutive line number and containing exactly one instruction. The available instructions are:

- inc(k_i) increment counter k_i and goto the next line,
- $td(k_i, n)$ if $k_i > 0$ then decrement k_i and goto the next line, otherwise goto line *n* and leave counters unchanged, goto *n* goto line *n*,
- halt halt the computation.



First-Order Logic Modulo Theories

Example: WhatDoIDo

- 2 td(k₂, 6)
- 4 $inc(k_1)$
- 5 goto 2
- 6 halt



8.7.1 Theorem (2-Counter Machine Halting Problem)

The halting problem for 2-counter machines is undecidable (Minsky 1967).

Proof.

(Idea) By a reduction to the halting problem for Turing machines.

8.7.2 Proposition (FOL(LIA) Undecidability with a Single Ternary Predicate)

Unsatisfiability of a FOL(LIA) clause set with a single ternary predicate is undecidable.



FOL(LIA) Decidable for Binary or Monadic Predicates?

No: translate 2-counter machine halting problem to FOL(LIA) with a single monadic predicate.

Idea: translate state (i, n, m) where the program is at line *i* with respective counter values *n*, *m* by the integer $2^n \cdot 3^m \cdot p_i$ where p_i is the *i*th prime number following 3



Example: WhatDoIDo

- 1 $td(k_2, 4)$
- 2 inc (k_1)
- 3 goto 1
- 4 halt

$$\begin{array}{l}5y = x, 3y' = y, x' = 7y', S(x) \rightarrow S(x')\\5y = x, 3y' + 1 = y, x' = 13y', S(x) \rightarrow S(x')\\5y = x, 3y' + 2 = y, x' = 13y', S(x) \rightarrow S(x')\\7y = x, x' = 2y, x'' = 11x', S(x) \rightarrow S(x'')\\11y = x, x' = 5y, S(x) \rightarrow S(x')\\13y = x, S(x) \rightarrow\end{array}$$



8.7.3 Proposition (FOL(LIA) Undecidability with a Single Monadic Predicate)

Unsatisfiability of a FOL(LIA) clause set with a single monadic predicate is undecidable (Downey 1972).

